CEU MATRIX
THE INSTITUTE FOR ADDICTION & CRIMINAL JUSTICE STUDIES

WORKING WITH VETERANS: MILITARY CULTURAL COMPETENCE

3 Continuing Education Credits

Asynchronous Distance Learning Course

Content Level: Introductory

Course Author: Gabriel Benaim, MS, LMHC

Last Updated: 2026

Approved by such credentialing bodies as:

• National Association of Alcoholism and Drug Abuse Counselors (NAADAC) #94564

• Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) Approved Continuing Education (ACE) #2726

• National Board of Certified Counselors (NBCC) #6310

All approval bodies are listed at ceumatrix.com/accreditations

For questions or to request accessibility accommodations please email us at: support@ceumatrix.com

© 2026 CEU Matrix. All rights reserved.

Welcome to CEU Matrix. We have been committed to supporting the continuing education of behavioral health professionals since 2007. Please reach out with any questions, ideas, or feedback.

Do the best you can until you know better.
Then when you know better, do better.

— Maya Angelou

COPYRIGHT

© Copyright 2026 CEU Matrix. All rights reserved.

This course was originally authored by Gabriel Benaim, MS, LMHC and is the exclusive property of CEU Matrix.

No portion of this course may be reproduced in any manner without the written permission of the publisher, in accordance with the U.S. Copyright Act of 1976, Title 17 U.S.C.

About the Author

Gabriel Benaim, MS, LMHC, is a Florida-licensed Mental Health Counselor in private practice, where he works with adults and older adolescents across Florida in English and Spanish. He was born in Maracaibo, Venezuela, and moved to Miami when he was 12. His clinical work focuses on anxiety, trauma, psychosomatic symptoms, and attachment dynamics. He earned a Master of Science in Clinical Mental Health Counseling from Barry University and a Bachelor of Arts in Psychology from the Honors College at Florida International University.

Abstract

A military-connected client may arrive at a civilian practice asking for help with sleep, parenting, grief, work, or a relationship. Service may never appear on the intake form. A clinician who asks about it can learn about responsibilities, relationships, and losses that would otherwise remain outside the formulation. Knowing what to ask next requires some familiarity with military life and a willingness to let the client correct the clinician's assumptions. This introductory course develops that familiarity. It examines military organization and identity, help-seeking concerns, deployment and transition, and the first sessions of civilian care. Learners practice taking a military history, interpreting behavior in context, discussing confidentiality, and connecting clients with appropriate services. Three fictional teaching cases follow different paths through these decisions. It is written for counselors, social workers, marriage and family therapists, psychologists, and other behavioral health professionals in civilian settings.

Learning Objectives

After completing this course, the participant will be able to:

1. Describe the composition and care-seeking patterns of U.S. veteran and service member populations and the survey evidence concerning civilian provider readiness.
2. Identify how branch, rank, component, occupation, and unit identity can shape a military-connected client's expectations of therapy.
3. Explain how military values, stigma, practical barriers, and career or security clearance concerns can affect help-seeking and disclosure.
4. Differentiate combat exposure, non-combat service stressors, and military-to-civilian transition stress, including evidence concerning suicide risk after separation.
5. Apply culturally informed first-session and engagement strategies, including service screening, military history taking, contextual assessment, and collaborative treatment planning.
6. Identify military, VA, and community referral pathways and the eligibility, confidentiality, and scope questions that require consultation or coordination.

Using This Course

Read the sections in order and complete the reflection exercises. Use the appendices as practice aids. Then answer all 20 posttest questions before consulting the answer key. Review the rationales and return to the cited course sections for any missed questions. The material can also support facilitated discussion.

This course addresses engagement and clinical orientation. It does not qualify clinicians to deliver trauma treatment, evaluate brain injury, determine benefits eligibility, or give forensic opinions. Scripts and exercises are original teaching examples, not validated interventions. Sample notes are abbreviated; actual records must document the encounter’s findings, decisions, and actions. Research, official guidance, and clinical applications are distinguished where interpretation depends on it.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Research and public guidance were reviewed during initial preparation on October 26, 2026, with selected sources rechecked for the November 4 revision. Publication year and data year are distinguished when they differ. Resource eligibility and military policy can change, so referral decisions should use the live official source. Clinical examples illustrate reasoning rather than predict outcomes. No case represents an identifiable client or a claim about the author's clinical experience.

© 2026 CEU Matrix

Section 1: Recognizing Military Service in Civilian Practice

The Client Who Does Not Mention Service

An intake note describes a 38-year-old mother as irritable, controlling, and emotionally flat. She wants her teenage son to follow instructions the first time. She is uncomfortable with open-ended conversation and asks what the clinician plans to accomplish. These observations might lead a clinician toward several plausible hypotheses: depression, family conflict, anxiety, a communication pattern learned at home, or a preference for structure.

Now add that she spent 14 years in Navy aircraft maintenance and supervised personnel whose work affected flight safety. Her directness becomes easier to understand. That information does not settle the diagnosis, explain every conflict, or excuse harmful behavior. It changes the questions worth asking. The clinician can explore what worked in that environment, what has become difficult at home, and whether she misses the responsibility she once carried.

Military cultural competence begins with this change in attention. The clinician learns enough about military life to recognize information that matters and remains open to an individual account. A branch label cannot supply the account. Two people who served in the same unit may describe it as the best period of their lives, a source of betrayal, or both.

Atuel and Castro (2018) describe military cultural competence in terms of attitudes, knowledge, and behavior. In practice, those dimensions can be examined separately. A clinician may respect veterans and still misunderstand the difference between the National Guard and active duty. Another may know rank insignia while avoiding questions about sexual harassment or discrimination. A third may recognize cultural differences but have no clear way to incorporate them into an assessment. Competence requires learning that reaches the actual encounter.

What Provider Surveys Established

RAND's 2014 survey found that 13% of participating civilian mental health providers met its combined readiness criteria. Those criteria included military cultural competence and preparation to deliver evidence-based care for PTSD and depression. The cultural competence threshold alone was met by 70% of providers working primarily in military or VA settings, 24% affiliated with TRICARE, and 8% with neither affiliation (Tanielian et al., 2014). These were study-defined thresholds, not licensing requirements.

A separate New York study reported that 2.3% of surveyed licensed health professionals met all of its readiness criteria and that 20% routinely screened for military affiliation (Tanielian et al., 2018). The studies used different populations and criteria, so their percentages cannot be combined into a trend. Both document a gap at the times and places studied.

The gap between setting groups in the 2014 survey fits the value of exposure and training, although providers who choose military settings may already differ in relevant ways. Either way, familiarity cannot be assumed from a general clinical license or a positive attitude toward veterans.

The practical response is modest and testable. Does the intake process identify service? Does the clinician understand the client's current component and coverage? Can the clinician explain a referral without promising eligibility? These are observable practices, and a clinic can improve them.

© 2026 CEU Matrix

Cultural Competence and Retention

Veterans' preferences and descriptions of treatment help explain why understanding may matter. Johnson and colleagues (2018) found that service members reading therapist vignettes rated a veteran psychologist as far more able to understand them than a nonveteran psychologist (5.61 vs. 3.44 on a 7-point scale), while their general attitudes toward therapy did not differ. The study measured expectations, not treatment outcomes, so it does not show that nonveteran clinicians are less effective.

Among soldiers who left PTSD care in one study, 61% cited insufficient time with the professional, 48% reported discomfort with the clinician, and about two thirds endorsed at least one concern about how the clinician communicated with them (Hoge et al., 2014). The subsample was small, but the finding supports asking whether a client feels understood. Treatment fit, scheduling, symptoms, finances, perceived benefit, and changes in life circumstances also affect attendance.

The reviewed evidence does not establish that this training reduces dropout. This course uses cultural understanding to improve assessment and relationship building; evaluate its interview suggestions with each client.

A civilian clinician can acknowledge a limitation without making the client manage it: “I have not served. I will ask questions when I need context, and I will do background learning outside our time. Please tell me when I misunderstand something that matters.” The commitment becomes credible when the clinician follows through. A client should not have to spend several appointments explaining basic organization before receiving help with the problem that brought them in.

Key Terms

“Service member” refers to someone currently serving. “Veteran” refers broadly to a person who previously served, while recognizing that legal definitions vary by benefit and some former members do not use the identity. “Military-connected” includes service members, veterans, and people affected through a family or close relationship. Family members may have service histories of their own.

“Civilian clinician” describes the course audience and practice context. The Department of Defense is abbreviated DoD when discussing its instructions and research. Agency documents retain the names under which they were issued.

“Combat,” “deployment,” and “trauma” are not interchangeable. A deployment is an assignment away from the usual duty setting; it can involve combat, support operations, humanitarian work, or other missions. A potentially traumatic event can occur during training, at a home station, or outside service. A person may also find military life stressful without meeting criteria for a trauma-related disorder.

Three Clients to Follow

Daniela is 38 and lives in Pembroke Pines, Florida. She separated from the Navy two years ago after 14 years, most recently as a senior enlisted aircraft maintenance supervisor. Her deployments were shipboard; she reports no combat exposure. Her primary care clinician referred her after irritability and conflict at home. She moves between English and Spanish in conversation. Her mother lives with the family and questions why Daniela left a secure career. Daniela says she wants to stop “running the house like a work center,” but she also resents being treated as if the skills that once made her effective are defects.

Tyler is a 24-year-old Florida Army National Guard specialist and electrician's apprentice. He returned eight months ago from an overseas support deployment and has also been activated for hurricane response. He seeks help for poor sleep and increased drinking after a breakup. He checked “No” beside “Are you a veteran?” His

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

service emerges only when the clinician asks whether he has ever served. He worries that seeking counseling will reach his leadership or affect future opportunities.

Raymond is a 67-year-old former Marine who served from 1977 to 1980. He received an other than honorable discharge after alcohol-related misconduct. He presents with depressive symptoms after his wife's death. He answers many questions with dark humor and says he is not entitled to veteran services. He has not asked VA to review his eligibility. The clinician knows little about his current alcohol use, support system, or access to firearms and must assess these rather than fill in the blanks from his age or discharge.

These fictional teaching cases develop across the sections as new information changes assessment and care.

An Opening Practice Exercise

Consider the last five intakes completed in your setting. Without reviewing private client details for this exercise, identify where a history of service would have been recorded. Could a person who served in the Guard answer the question comfortably? Would a woman be asked the same question as a man? Would a spouse's deployment be visible anywhere? If the process depends on the clinician noticing a cap, tattoo, age, or manner of speaking, identification will depend on stereotypes.

Write one change to the intake process that staff could implement and audit. “Add a service question with a prefer-not-to-answer option” is observable. “Be more veteran friendly” is too broad to tell anyone what to do. The later sections supply follow-up questions and coordination steps once that first change reveals a military connection.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Section 2: Understanding Who Serves and Who Seeks Care

Different Populations Answer Different Questions

The veteran population is older than the currently serving force, and each includes substantial variation. The Census Bureau reported approximately 15.7 million civilian veterans in 2024, representing 5.9% of civilian adults. About 1.7 million were women, or 11.1% of veterans. Hispanic or Latino veterans accounted for 9.2%; 13.0% were Black or African American alone. Almost three in ten veterans were age 75 or older, while 8.4% were under 35 (U.S. Census Bureau, 2025).

These figures describe a population, not a client. They cannot tell a clinician how likely a particular person is to have depression or PTSD, or how strongly that person identifies with service. Their use is to broaden recognition, particularly when a clinic's informal picture of a veteran is much narrower than the people it serves.

VA's VetPop estimates are produced through a different method, using administrative information and population modeling. A model estimate and an ACS estimate can differ without either being a simple counting error. Before comparing population figures, check the year, the definition of veteran, and whether the number comes from a survey or a model. Before presenting percentages, identify the denominator.

Table 1 compares the uses of these sources.

Table 1. Population Data Sources and Their Uses

Source	What it describes	Appropriate use	Interpretation caution
Census American Community Survey	Survey estimates of civilian veterans and their characteristics	Describing observed population composition for a stated year	Sampling error and survey definitions affect estimates
VA VetPop	Modeled veteran population using administrative and demographic inputs	Planning and projections	A modeled estimate is not interchangeable with an ACS estimate
DoD demographics profile	Currently serving DoD members and recorded family members	Understanding the current force	Coverage differs from civilian veteran surveys and may exclude groups outside DoD
A treatment-system sample	People using that health system or program	Describing that program's users	Users may differ from veterans who never seek its services

The Currently Serving Force

The 2024 DoD demographics profile counted 2,022,141 military personnel, including 1,267,738 active-duty members and 754,403 Selected Reserve members, as well as 2,372,286 recorded family members (Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Military Community and Family Policy [ODASD MC&FP], 2025). These are DoD categories. The Coast Guard usually operates under the Department of Homeland Security, so a DoD total should not be described as a complete count of every U.S. uniformed service.

The distinction matters clinically even without memorizing the numbers. A family may be affected by service while living far from an installation. A member may work a civilian job for most of the month and still face military obligations. An active-duty spouse may have moved repeatedly, interrupted a career, or carried

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

parenting responsibilities during deployment. A child may experience military life mainly through school changes and uncertain contact with a parent.

The next generation of veterans will also differ from older veteran cohorts. A clinician who relies only on experience with older men from a single service era may overlook a young woman who served in logistics, a bilingual Guard member, or a same-sex partner managing deployment separation. Asking about service consistently is more reliable than trying to recognize it by appearance.

Where Veterans Receive Health Care

A nationally representative 2019–2020 survey of 4,069 veterans found that 20.5% reported VA as their primary source of health care (Meshberg-Cohen et al., 2026). The publication appeared in 2026; the observations came from an earlier period. “Primary source” is also different from enrollment, eligibility, any VA use, or receipt of a specific service. A veteran who gets most care outside VA may still obtain medications, specialty care, or another service through VA.

The practical inference is that clinicians outside VA should expect military-connected clients. The finding does not mean that four in five veterans never use VA, and it says nothing about where mental health visits in particular take place.

Several pathways can lead a military-connected person to a civilian office. The client may use commercial insurance through an employer, Medicare, Medicaid, private payment, TRICARE, or VA-authorized community care. A referral from a primary care clinician may have no military-specific wording. The client may prefer a local provider, a particular language, a specialty, or a setting they perceive as more private. Preferences can change over time and should be asked rather than inferred.

Payment arrangements also affect coordination. A privately paying veteran does not become a VA community care patient simply because the clinician sends VA a treatment summary. A VA referral does not authorize every service indefinitely. An active-duty member using a private provider may still have military-related obligations that need explanation. Section 10 distinguishes these routes so that clinical access and reimbursement are not confused.

Service Era Provides Context Without Determining Experience

Service era can guide questions about training, institutional policies, deployments, and access to support. It cannot reveal what happened to a person. A Vietnam-era veteran may have served entirely in the United States. A post-9/11 veteran may have deployed repeatedly without direct combat. A Cold War veteran may have experienced a serious training accident or harassment that remained undisclosed for decades.

Parker and colleagues' (2019) national survey found that about 29% of veterans reported combat experience: 49% of post-9/11 veterans and 24% of those who served earlier. Most veterans in that survey did not report combat. Ask what the individual did and experienced.

Avoid treating an era label as a diagnostic shortcut. A client who served long ago may present with a newly difficult anniversary, a loss of a spouse who helped maintain routines, or increased medical disability. A younger veteran may primarily need help with a breakup or managing school. Symptoms should be linked to events and functioning through assessment, not through a presumed narrative of the era.

Family Members Are Clients in Their Own Right

The military-connected spouse who requests treatment may be exhausted, angry about another move, or uncertain about continuing the relationship. Those concerns do not need to be translated into the service

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

member's symptoms to become clinically legitimate. Ask what the spouse has carried, what support exists, and which decisions are constrained by service requirements.

A parent of an adult service member may be distressed by limited information about a deployment. A child may resist another reunion because the household rules change each time a parent returns. An adult child may be coordinating care for an aging veteran who distrusts institutions. These are different clinical situations, even though all can be described as military connected.

With couples and families, determine who the client is, whose record is being created, and how confidentiality will work before gathering sensitive information. The service member's rank does not give them authority over a spouse's treatment. Family participation should support agreed clinical goals and respect safety, consent, and professional standards. Cultural familiarity should not normalize coercion.

Geography and Access

Florida illustrates why civilian settings need this knowledge. It has a large veteran population and many people who receive health care outside military facilities. A practice in South Florida may serve older veterans, recent separatees, Guard members activated for disasters, and bilingual families in the same week. The teaching cases reflect this range without suggesting that Florida's experience represents every state.

Local access cannot be estimated from a state total. A rural client may face transportation problems; a suburban client may be unable to attend daytime appointments; a client near a VA medical center may still have difficulty obtaining the service they need. Ask about access at the level of the actual appointment: travel time, work permission, copayment, childcare, privacy, technology, and language.

For a telehealth visit, proximity to an installation does not answer the clinician's legal or emergency planning questions. Confirm the client's physical location for the encounter, the clinician's authority to practice there, privacy in that location, and local emergency procedures. A military address, temporary assignment, or frequent travel can make an old address in the record unreliable.

Reading a Statistic Before Using It

A useful habit is to translate a statistic into a complete sentence containing its population, measure, and time frame. “Twenty percent screened routinely” needs the study location, the professions surveyed, and the date. “Most veterans do not have PTSD” requires clarity about current versus lifetime disorder, the sample, and the assessment method. A symptom screen is not equivalent to a diagnostic interview.

This habit also limits unnecessary alarm. A rate in a clinical sample can be higher because people entered that sample through treatment need. A national average can conceal important subgroup differences. A small qualitative study can provide a detailed account of an experience without estimating how common it is. Each design contributes something different.

In conversation, the clinician rarely needs to recite population figures. The more useful consequence is to keep the assessment open. Daniela's Navy history becomes visible because she is asked. Tyler's part-time component becomes relevant because the clinician follows up. Raymond's eligibility question remains open because a discharge label is not treated as the final word.

© 2026 CEU Matrix

Section 3: Learning the Organization Without Stereotyping the Person

Branch and Occupation

A basic service history starts with branch, component, dates, and work. These details locate the person in an organization. They do not constitute a personality profile. The Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, Space Force, and Coast Guard have different missions, language, training pathways, and work environments. Within a branch, an aviation mechanic and a legal specialist may have more different daily experiences than their shared service label suggests.

Use the member's own occupational term when it is known. Army and Marine Corps personnel commonly refer to a military occupational specialty, or MOS. The Air Force uses Air Force Specialty Codes; the Navy uses ratings for enlisted occupations. A clinician does not need to memorize every classification system. "What did that job involve day to day?" usually provides more clinically useful information than knowing the code alone.

Job descriptions reveal exposure and responsibility. Ask whether the person worked nights, handled dangerous equipment, supervised others, responded to emergencies, or spent long periods separated from home. A client may be proud of keeping aircraft flying safely and also exhausted by the accountability. Someone who never exchanged fire may have treated casualties, handled remains, or witnessed a fatal training accident. Another may have had no such exposure and still experienced repeated disruption of family life.

Official core values give learners some shared vocabulary. They are institutional statements, not empirical descriptions of every member. The Army publishes seven values; Navy and Marine Corps values emphasize honor, courage, and commitment; the Air Force identifies integrity, service, and excellence; Space Force values include character, connection, commitment, and courage; Coast Guard values are honor, respect, and devotion to duty (U.S. Army, n.d.; U.S. Navy, n.d.; U.S. Marine Corps, n.d.; U.S. Air Force, n.d.; U.S. Space Force, 2021; U.S. Coast Guard, n.d.).

Table 2 gives a reference for the language clients may have encountered.

Table 2. Service Core Values and Open Questions

Service	Published core values	A question that leaves room for the client's experience
Army	Loyalty, duty, respect, selfless service, honor, integrity, personal courage	Which of those values mattered in your own work?
Navy	Honor, courage, commitment	How were those values practiced in your command?
Marine Corps	Honor, courage, commitment	What does being a Marine mean to you now?
Air Force	Integrity first, service before self, excellence in all we do	What expectations from that work still shape your daily life?
Space Force	Character, connection, commitment, courage	How would you describe the service culture you experienced?

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Service	Published core values	A question that leaves room for the client's experience
Coast Guard	Honor, respect, devotion to duty	Which missions or responsibilities affected you most?

The questions in the final column invite description without assuming the client endorses an official value or believes the institution lived up to it. Some clients will welcome this conversation; others will want to discuss a current problem that has little connection to branch identity.

Rank and Responsibility

Rank helps explain a client's former or current responsibilities and position in the chain of command. Enlisted members perform a wide range of operational and technical work. Noncommissioned officers are enlisted leaders with responsibility for other members; Navy and Coast Guard terminology includes petty officers. Warrant officers occupy specialized roles in services that use them. Commissioned officers carry leadership and other professional responsibilities. Exact structures vary across services.

Pay grades such as E-4, E-7, W-2, or O-3 provide a cross-service framework, while rank titles differ. An Army captain and a Navy captain do not occupy equivalent pay grades. The goal is to avoid elementary misunderstandings and then ask about actual responsibility. A person may have held a relatively junior rank while facing demanding tasks; a senior rank says nothing about whether the person had a supportive command or a successful transition.

“What rank did you hold when you left?” can be followed by “What were you responsible for?” A senior enlisted supervisor may have managed personnel, schedules, equipment, and safety decisions. A civilian employer may see only that the person lacks a particular credential. The resulting loss of status can be understood more precisely once the clinician knows what work the client previously performed.

Rank can also affect therapy expectations. A client accustomed to clear authority may wait for instructions or address the clinician formally. Someone whose leaders misused authority may challenge the clinician's explanations. These are hypotheses about an interaction, not findings that can be read directly from rank. Ask how the person prefers decisions to be made and clarify the clinician's role in a collaborative treatment relationship.

Do not turn the clinical encounter into a command relationship. The clinician does not outrank the client, and a treatment plan is not an order. A client's preference for a clear agenda can be honored while preserving choice. “I can explain my recommendation and the alternatives, then we can decide what fits your goals” gives structure without importing military authority into consent.

Component Changes Daily Life

Active duty generally means full-time service. National Guard and Reserve members may serve part time, perform full-time duties, or move between statuses. The National Guard has state and federal roles, while the federal Reserve components have a different command structure. A person's orders and current status matter more than a broad label when considering coverage, employment protections, or referral eligibility.

The familiar phrase “one weekend a month” is an incomplete picture of obligations. Training, preparation, mobilization, travel, and deployment can exceed a routine drill schedule. A Guard member may return from an overseas assignment directly to a civilian workplace whose coworkers do not understand the absence. A reservist may live nowhere near the unit or an installation. Ask about the actual schedule and the cost of meeting it.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Table 3 compares common clinical considerations. Coverage and legal protections require an individualized check.

Table 3. Clinical Considerations by Component

Component	Common pattern of daily life	Assessment question	Access question to verify
Active duty	Full-time military work, sometimes with frequent moves or deployment	What permissions or scheduling constraints affect appointments?	Which military or TRICARE pathway applies to this service and visit?
National Guard	Civilian life alongside military duties, with possible state or federal activation	Which activations have occurred, and when did each end?	What coverage applies under the current orders or status?
Federal Reserve	Civilian work with military obligations or periods of full-time service	How do civilian work and military schedules interact?	Is the member enrolled in the relevant plan, and what are the current costs?
Former member	Service may have ended recently or decades ago	What changed after separation, and what still matters?	Which VA, Vet Center, or non-VA services will assess eligibility?

Tyler's statement that he works as an electrician's apprentice should not lead the clinician to classify his service as irrelevant. His civilian employer, military leadership, and former partner may each expect availability at the same time. Whether a particular employment dispute falls under a protection such as the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act is a legal question for a qualified resource. The clinical question is how the conflict affects sleep, finances, relationships, and attendance.

Unit Identity

The unit may be a stronger reference point than the branch. Ask who the client trusted, who depended on them, and whether those relationships continued after a move or separation. The answer may identify people who could support treatment, unresolved losses, or reasons the client hesitates to disclose distress.

In qualitative interviews, Ahern and colleagues (2015) described veterans' accounts of the military as a family and civilian life as unfamiliar. The interviews identify themes worth exploring rather than a universal experience. For a client who misses a unit, a generic recommendation to “make friends” may miss the kind of belonging they lost. They may miss being needed, trusting others with consequential tasks, or having a shared language.

A peer connection may help, but assess its quality. Some former unit relationships offer encouragement and practical support; others revolve around heavy drinking, conflict, or expectations that discourage care. “Who from service could you call when things are difficult?” is followed by “What usually happens after that conversation?” Familiarity does not guarantee that a relationship is protective.

Identity Includes Experiences Beyond Service

Race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, disability, religion, immigration history, language, and socioeconomic background can affect military experience and civilian care. A person can value service and describe discrimination within it. They may feel more understood in one veteran group than another. A clinician who treats military identity as the only relevant culture can reproduce the exclusions the client has already encountered.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Women may be mistaken for a veteran's spouse or be asked to establish credibility in spaces where men's service is assumed. Ask the service question consistently and address the person as the veteran when that is their history. Avoid moving directly from discovering a woman's service to assuming sexual trauma. The assessment should allow the same broad account of competence, occupation, belonging, stress, and goals offered to other clients.

A Hispanic or Latino veteran may prefer English, Spanish, both, or another language. Ethnicity does not tell you language preference or family structure. Ask which language best supports a particular conversation, whether an interpreter is needed, and how relatives understand the service history. Do not assign cultural meaning to every switch in language. A shift may reflect convenience, emotion, a term learned in one setting, or a wish to quote someone accurately.

LGBTQ+ clients may have served under policies that required concealment or threatened their careers. Do not assume current openness means earlier institutional harm has resolved. Ask what the person wants documented and explain the clinical and legal limits of that choice. Current service policies and litigation can change; the clinician should verify a specific question through an authoritative source rather than offer a general assurance based on memory.

An older veteran may have a different relationship with military institutions than a younger member, but age alone cannot predict trust. A clinician can ask whether earlier attempts to obtain care affected present expectations. If the client describes being dismissed or humiliated, learn what would make this encounter more workable. Automatically defending an institution can shut down useful information.

Identity Can Weaken or Strengthen Over Time

Ask, “How important is your military background to how you see yourself now?” A client may answer that it is central, that it was a job, or that they do not want it to define them. Revisit the question when circumstances change. Retirement, a reunion, a death in the unit, a disability claim, or caring for an aging parent can alter what service means.

The person who rejects the veteran label may still have service-related health needs or access questions. Respecting identity does not require avoiding a factual military history. “You do not use that word for yourself. Would it be useful to talk about whether any services connected with your time in the Marines could help?” separates the person's preferred identity from a resource question.

A clinician with military experience should be alert to assumed similarity. Shared branch or era can ease some explanations while concealing major differences in occupation, power, or exposure. Brief self-disclosure should serve the client's treatment. Comparing deployments or correcting minor terminology to establish credibility can shift attention to the clinician's story.

Applying the Organization to the Three Cases

With Daniela, the clinician asks about responsibility before interpreting her manner. She supervised maintenance work under strict deadlines and describes pride in preventing avoidable errors. At home, she recognizes that a late chore does not have the same consequence as an incomplete aircraft inspection. The formulation can preserve competence while identifying where a learned response no longer fits.

With Tyler, the clinician records current Guard service and asks separately about deployment return, hurricane activations, drill requirements, civilian work, and coverage. No conclusion about eligibility is reached from “National Guard” alone. His answer to the original veteran question is corrected in the clinical history without insisting he adopt the label.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Raymond describes himself as someone who “used to be a Marine” and then immediately disqualifies his service because of his discharge. The clinician can ask what the service meant before the discharge discussion. Exploring pride does not minimize the misconduct. Exploring shame does not establish a legal entitlement. Both can belong in a careful history.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Section 4: Understanding Help Seeking and Disclosure

Values Can Shape the Meaning of Treatment

Military training places substantial emphasis on reliability, responsibility to others, and carrying out a mission. Those values can support treatment. A person may seek help because they want to protect a relationship, remain effective at work, or be available to children. The same values can complicate disclosure if the person interprets symptoms as letting others down.

The clinician's task is to learn the client's interpretation. “What would it mean about you if you needed help with this?” can reveal a private rule that a general question about stigma misses. One client may believe needing treatment makes them weak. Another may accept therapy but worry about losing an assignment. Another may have no stigma concern and simply lack time or money.

The Army's published values and other service statements describe commitment and responsibility (U.S. Army, n.d.). Linking help-seeking with a client's own values is a clinical application, not an experimentally established military intervention. Avoid repackaging every therapy task as readiness or duty. A client who left an exhausting institution may want permission to value personal needs without making those needs serve another mission.

Distinguishing Public Stigma and Self Stigma

Public stigma concerns the reactions a person expects from others. Self-stigma concerns what seeking help would mean about the self. Career concerns involve anticipated institutional consequences, some of which may be realistic under particular duties or policies. These can overlap but require different responses.

The pattern has been documented for two decades. After deployment to Iraq, soldiers and Marines who screened positive for depression, generalized anxiety, or PTSD were roughly twice as likely as those who screened negative to expect being seen as weak (65% vs. 31%) and to believe treatment would harm their career (50% vs. 24%). Only 23% to 40% of those who screened positive had received professional help (Hoge et al., 2004). The people most likely to need care were the most worried about what seeking it would cost.

A clinician cannot resolve a scheduling problem by explaining that treatment is a sign of strength. Nor can a clinician settle a clearance question by encouraging self-compassion. Start with the specific anticipated consequence. “Who might find out, and what do you worry would happen?” allows the person to describe the feared pathway rather than agree with a broad label.

Coleman and colleagues' (2017) qualitative synthesis identified stigma-related barriers and facilitators across armed forces studies. Its findings included concerns about weakness and the way others would respond. The review included forces outside the United States, so it should not be treated as an estimate of current U.S. prevalence. It supports attending to the social meaning of treatment while recognizing variation across settings.

A client may also have direct experience behind a fear. Perhaps a coworker was treated differently after disclosure, or a previous clinician explained confidentiality poorly. Ask what happened and what the client concluded. Accurate information matters, but immediately calling the fear a myth can dismiss the experience that gave it force.

Practical Barriers Require Practical Responses

Kim and colleagues (2010) found that after combat, National Guard soldiers cited cost as a barrier more often than active-duty soldiers (22% vs. 15%), while active-duty soldiers more often cited scheduling (28% vs. 18%) and getting time off (34% vs. 20%). The study is now dated, but it illustrates that practical barriers can differ

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

by component. A useful assessment therefore includes both beliefs about treatment and the mechanics of attending it.

Ask whether the client can leave work, whether appointments conflict with drills or caregiving, and what a missed work hour costs. Explain cancellation policies and likely charges clearly. Telehealth may reduce travel while creating a privacy problem if the client lives with others or joins from a shared military space. Evening availability helps only if the client can use it.

Clarify how contact will occur. A voicemail naming a mental health practice may be acceptable to one client and intrusive to another. Agree on a safe number, whether messages can identify the practice, and how scheduling notices appear. These arrangements should comply with the clinic's privacy procedures rather than rely on informal promises that staff cannot consistently keep.

For Tyler, the immediate barrier may be an apprenticeship supervisor who expects him to remain at the jobsite. The clinician asks whether early or late appointments are feasible and explains the actual policy for rescheduling around military duties. It would be premature to interpret inconsistent attendance as resistance before understanding those constraints.

Security Clearance Concerns

Seeking mental health treatment does not automatically disqualify a person from a security clearance. Official military guidance encourages appropriate care and honest reporting. Clearance decisions consider the relevant facts and functioning under applicable adjudicative standards, rather than treating ordinary counseling attendance as automatic evidence of unreliability (U.S. Air Force Life Cycle Management Center, 2024). Defense Health Agency figures illustrate the point: of about 85,000 clearances denied or revoked over six years, 145 were denied or revoked for mental health reasons alone. In the same report, 24% of service members reporting symptoms said they had not sought treatment for fear of clearance consequences (Psychological Health Center of Excellence [PHCoE], 2020).

That general principle is useful; a guarantee is not. Do not promise that a diagnosis, hospitalization, substance-related problem, or functional impairment will never affect duties or an adjudication. Fitness for duty, access to weapons, deployment status, professional qualification, and security clearance are related but distinct decisions. A statement about one cannot be used to settle all the others.

The form and reporting process in effect for the individual should guide advice about what must be disclosed. Avoid teaching a fixed list of questionnaire items as though it will remain current. The clinician can encourage the client to read current instructions, answer honestly, and obtain case-specific guidance from an appropriate security professional or qualified legal adviser. Advice to hide treatment or omit required information is outside responsible clinical care.

A usable clinical response is: “Getting counseling by itself does not automatically mean losing a clearance. I cannot decide your clearance or guarantee how a specific concern will be reviewed. We can clarify what I document and disclose, and identify the right person to explain the current reporting rules.”

If the client asks the therapist to certify that there is no risk to national security, pause. That request may require a defined evaluation with a different role, data source, and competence. A treatment relationship does not automatically supply the basis for a clearance opinion. A factual summary of attendance or treatment may be possible with authorization, while a broader determination belongs to an appropriately qualified evaluator.

© 2026 CEU Matrix

Command Notification and Ordinary Confidentiality

Some service members assume a commander can read everything discussed in treatment. Others assume private care has no military implications under any circumstances. Both assumptions need a careful explanation of the actual setting and the clinician's obligations.

DoD Instruction 6490.08, issued September 6, 2023, establishes a presumption against command notification for voluntarily sought mental health care, subject to specified exceptions. Examples concern serious risks to self, others, or mission, inpatient care, and certain evaluation or treatment situations (Department of Defense, 2023). The instruction addresses military health-system responsibilities; a civilian clinician must also determine which laws, contracts, and policies govern their own practice.

The clinician should not convert the instruction into a universal rule for every privately paid encounter. TRICARE participation, military treatment arrangements, professional role, and applicable law can affect the analysis. Section 11 provides a process for checking these obligations. When a question is unresolved, say what needs verification and complete it promptly rather than promise confidentiality that may be unavailable.

Explain routine privacy practices and exceptions in ordinary language. Identify who maintains the record, whether an insurer receives claims, how releases are handled, and which situations require disclosure. Distinguish a valid authorization from an informal request by a leader. Rank gives no one a right to the client's chart.

Ask the client to tell you what they understood. “If your supervisor called asking whether you were a client here, what would you expect us to do?” is more informative than “Do you understand confidentiality?” Correct misunderstandings while leaving room for follow-up questions. Document the explanation and any additional information obtained about the treatment arrangement.

Reluctance Is Not a Fixed Trait

Help-seeking can vary by problem and over time. A client may readily seek physical rehabilitation, accept medication from primary care, and hesitate about psychotherapy. They may disclose sleep problems before discussing shame or relationship violence. This sequence does not necessarily mean manipulation, denial, or a lack of commitment.

The clinician can ask what made attending possible now. A breakup, family concern, worsening work performance, or a friend's recommendation may be more immediate than the service history. Use the client's reason as the starting goal, while completing necessary assessment. Imposing a military-trauma explanation too early can obscure the problem the client is prepared to address.

A person may also be testing whether the therapist can tolerate disagreement. A direct statement such as “I do not want to talk about deployment” can be met with a clear explanation: “We can start with sleep and what is happening now. I may ask a few brief questions for safety and treatment planning, and we can decide together when more detail would help.” Deferring narrative detail should not prevent urgent assessment of current risk, intoxication, or medical needs.

Discussing an Institutional Injury

Some clients distrust care because an institution failed to protect them. They may have experienced harassment, retaliation, ignored medical concerns, or pressure to remain silent. A clinician's impulse to reassure them about “the military today” can move attention away from the person's experience and toward defending the system.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Ask what the client wants you to understand and what would make the present setting feel workable. Explain the actions you control: clarifying records, checking a policy, obtaining permission, discussing a referral, or correcting an error. Avoid implying you can guarantee another organization's conduct.

The same care applies when a client has had a difficult VA encounter. A bad experience with one clinic does not establish that no VA resource can help, but neither does the existence of a good program erase the earlier harm. The client can consider a new option without being required to revise their account of the past.

Practice With Tyler

Tyler says, “I am not telling you anything that gets back to my unit.” Before trying to persuade him, identify what he believes could be shared, who he thinks would receive it, and what consequence he fears. Establish the clinician's actual treatment role and payment arrangement. Explain the verified rules and the clinic's exceptions. Then ask whether that explanation changes what he is comfortable discussing.

If he remains unwilling to continue, explore alternatives that fit his needs and urgency. A referral with a different confidentiality structure may be useful, but its limits also need verification. If there is a current safety concern, assess it directly and take appropriate action within the actual legal and clinical framework. Trust cannot be created by an assurance the clinician is unable to honor.

© 2026 CEU Matrix

Section 5: Assessing Deployment and Other Military Stressors

Start With the Work and the Events

A useful deployment history distinguishes where the person went, what they did, what happened, and how they responded. These are separate questions. Serving in a combat theater does not mean the person describes their own work as combat. Reporting no combat does not rule out trauma, danger, loss, or prolonged stress.

Parker and colleagues (2019) found that combat experience varied substantially across service eras. The assessment implication is to ask about exposure rather than infer it from the word "deployment." A logistics assignment may have involved repeated threat or casualty exposure. A stateside assignment may have involved a fatal accident. A client may also report a demanding but largely positive service history and seek treatment for a problem unrelated to service.

Begin with an overview: "Were there assignments, deployments, or events from service that still affect your health or daily life?" If the answer is yes, ask enough to determine present needs and safety. Detailed recounting is not required simply to establish that an event occurred. Explain why additional questions would help and offer a choice about how much detail to provide, while completing any assessment that cannot safely wait.

A Deployment Affects the Household Over Time

Deployment frameworks describe changing demands before departure, during separation, as routines develop, around return, and during reintegration. The Psychological Health Center of Excellence (2021) uses an emotional-cycle model for service members and families. Such a model is an orientation aid; a family does not need to progress through a fixed sequence or complete a stage on a timetable.

Table 4 turns that orientation into brief assessment prompts. Adjust the wording to the household.

Table 4. Household Tasks Across the Deployment Cycle

Period	Possible household task	Clinical question
Before departure	Planning care, finances, communication, and daily responsibilities	What remains unsettled before the departure?
Early separation	Managing an abrupt absence and unfamiliar responsibilities	Which parts of the day are hardest to manage now?
During deployment	Sustaining routines and contact amid uncertainty	What is working, and what becomes harder when plans change?
Preparing for return	Negotiating expectations after each person has changed	What do you each expect the first month home to look like?
Reintegration	Renegotiating roles and responding to health or relationship concerns	Which routines should stay, and which need to be decided together?

A returning member may expect to resume authority in a household that developed effective routines without them. A spouse may welcome the return and resent the suggestion that their work was temporary. Children may be excited, shy, angry, or reluctant to change their relationship with the parent who remained home.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Ask each participant what changed during the absence. Changes can include employment, friendships, health, caregiving, and independence. A disagreement about bedtime may also be a disagreement about whose judgment is respected. The clinician can identify the actual conflict without assuming a deployment caused every difficulty.

Where there is intimidation, coercion, or violence, complete an appropriate safety assessment. Describing reintegration stress does not normalize abuse or make conjoint treatment appropriate in an unsafe relationship. Decisions about family sessions require the same attention to coercion, consent, and risk as in any other population.

Stress Outside Combat

Military service can involve demanding schedules, sleep disruption, frequent moves, separation from support, occupational hazards, training injuries, and institutional conflict. The clinically useful question is how a particular demand affected this person. Avoid adding up stressors as though a longer list establishes a diagnosis.

Repeated moves can interrupt a spouse's career or a child's care. Shift work can affect sleep and irritability. A toxic work environment can make a member distrust authority. Physical pain can limit exercise that once supported mood. Each pathway calls for further assessment of timing, severity, functional effects, and other explanations.

Institutional betrayal can be relevant when a person expected protection from a unit or command and experienced dismissal or retaliation. The clinician need not reach a legal finding to assess the psychological meaning of the account. Separate the client's reported events, their interpretation, and any records reviewed. This preserves respect and documentation accuracy.

Disaster response deserves its own inquiry. A Guard member may have helped people in their own community while worrying about their household. Humanitarian or domestic missions can include dangerous conditions and exposure to suffering. A client may minimize those experiences because they do not fit their image of "real deployment." Ask about the work and current effects without requiring comparison with combat.

PTSD Belongs in the Differential

PTSD is a possible diagnosis following qualifying exposure and a particular symptom pattern; veteran status is not a diagnostic criterion. The National Center for PTSD estimates lifetime PTSD at about 7% among veterans, compared with 6% of U.S. adults, and at about 13% among women veterans; estimates rise in some era cohorts and differ by sampling and time frame (National Center for PTSD, n.d.-a). Most veterans, then, do not have PTSD, and a lifetime estimate cannot be substituted for a current one.

Use an appropriate assessment process when symptoms suggest PTSD. A positive screen requires further evaluation. An elevated score may support closer inquiry without establishing the diagnosis by itself. Identify the relevant exposure, symptom clusters, duration, distress or impairment, and alternative explanations within professional competence.

A client who does not have PTSD may still need treatment for depression, insomnia, anxiety, substance use, grief, relationship conflict, or adjustment difficulties. Conversely, cultural explanations should not be used to dismiss persistent nightmares, avoidance, intrusive memories, or substantial impairment. Accurate formulation can include both a learned behavior and a disorder.

This course does not teach trauma-focused treatment. When PTSD appears likely, discuss evidence-based options and whether the clinician is trained to provide them. Starting a detailed trauma narrative without a

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

treatment rationale or adequate preparation can consume the client's effort without producing a clear next step. A careful assessment and referral can be clinically useful in their own right.

Military Sexual Trauma

Military sexual trauma, or MST, refers to sexual assault or threatening sexual harassment during military service. It describes an experience, not a psychiatric diagnosis. People of different genders may experience MST, and its effects may involve mental health, physical health, relationships, occupational trust, or several areas together (U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, n.d.-d).

VA's published screening figures describe veterans who use VA care and are screened there. They should not be labeled prevalence among all service members or all veterans. The National Center for PTSD reports that about one in three women and one in fifty men screened in VA disclose MST (National Center for PTSD, n.d.-b). More than a third of veterans who disclose MST to a VA provider are men. The figures do not justify assuming that a particular woman experienced assault or that a man is unlikely to need inquiry.

Explain the reason for asking and allow a brief response. “Some people experienced unwanted sexual attention, harassment, or assault during service. Has anything like that affected your health or care needs?” is an original prompt. Use an approved screening process where required. A client should know they can identify the concern without recounting it in detail during that appointment.

VA provides free care for physical and mental health conditions related to MST without requiring proof that it occurred or a prior report. Enrollment and discharge questions have special provisions, and a local MST coordinator can clarify access (U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, n.d.-d). Avoid making the client's willingness to pursue a complaint or disclose to family a condition of receiving help.

The generalist can assess immediate needs, respond without blame, explain options, and facilitate referral. Specialty treatment, reporting questions, and forensic documentation may require additional expertise. Ask whether prior institutional responses affect the client's willingness to contact a military or VA program. Offer alternatives where appropriate without promising that all services share the same confidentiality rules.

Head Injury and Cognitive Complaints

Ask about head injuries, loss or alteration of consciousness, blast exposure, and ongoing symptoms when indicated. Traumatic brain injuries occur in training, accidents, sports, and other settings as well as combat. DoD surveillance counted 535,544 service members with a TBI from 2000 through the fourth quarter of 2025, about 82% classified as mild, and the count includes injuries diagnosed in the United States rather than only combat wounds (Traumatic Brain Injury Center of Excellence, n.d.).

Attention difficulties, memory complaints, headaches, sleep disturbance, irritability, and fatigue can have multiple explanations. A civilian therapist should not diagnose a remote brain injury from a symptom checklist or dismiss the symptoms as psychological because imaging was normal. Obtain the relevant medical history and refer for appropriate evaluation.

If a client reports a recent injury or acute neurological warning signs, arrange urgent medical assessment rather than treating the concern as a routine therapy referral. For persistent symptoms, coordinate with the relevant medical team, with authorization, and adapt communication to the client's demonstrated needs. Written plans, shorter instructions, or checking understanding may help whether the cause is neurological, psychiatric, sleep related, or mixed.

Document what the client reports and what has been medically established. “Client reports a prior concussion; records not yet reviewed” preserves the distinction. “TBI causes his anger” overstates both diagnosis and causation when the assessment has not established either.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Moral Injury

Moral injury describes distress associated with experiences that violate deeply held moral expectations, including acts performed, witnessed, not prevented, or experienced as betrayal. Griffin and colleagues' (2019) integrative review discusses guilt, shame, spiritual concerns, and social consequences, as well as limitations in definition and measurement. Moral injury overlaps with PTSD and other conditions but is not itself a DSM diagnosis.

The distinction can be useful when a client says, “I was not afraid. I cannot live with what I did,” or describes a trusted leader's betrayal. Do not conclude from the absence of fear that the event had no clinical consequences. Likewise, do not replace a full assessment with a moral injury label.

Listen for the person's own moral framework. The clinician's political, religious, or military interpretation may differ. A quick assurance that the client “had no choice” can feel dismissive, especially if responsibility is the part the person is trying to examine. Clarify what is troubling them and seek consultation when treatment requires skills beyond the clinician's preparation.

Spiritual support may be appropriate if the client wants it, but guilt does not automatically make a faith referral the right answer. Obtain permission and consider whether the proposed resource understands the issue without imposing a moral judgment. The therapist can remain responsible for clinical assessment while coordinating a distinct source of support.

Alcohol and Other Substances

Ask about amount, frequency, context, consequences, and change over time. A person may describe heavy drinking as ordinary in a former social group. Cultural context helps explain the behavior's meaning; it does not determine whether use is hazardous or meets diagnostic criteria.

Use a validated screening measure appropriate to the setting, followed by assessment rather than diagnosis from the score alone. Explore use for sleep, pain, social connection, or avoidance of distress. Ask about withdrawal history and medical risks. A person at risk of dangerous withdrawal needs medical evaluation; a therapy plan to “just stop” may be unsafe.

With Tyler, the sequence matters. His drinking increased after a breakup, he sleeps poorly, and he recently returned from deployment. These facts support several hypotheses. The clinician needs a timeline, baseline use, current quantity, functional effects, safety information, and other symptoms. Declaring the drinking a deployment reaction before that work would narrow the assessment prematurely.

What to Do With Several Possible Concerns

A client may have sleep disruption, pain, depression, alcohol use, and a possible head injury at the same time. Prioritize immediate safety and medical needs, then agree on a manageable plan. Explain why a referral is needed and how ongoing therapy can continue where appropriate. Multiple concerns do not require every question to be completed in one sitting, but urgent questions should not be deferred to preserve a comfortable atmosphere.

A brief working formulation can name uncertainty: “Sleep and alcohol use worsened after the return and breakup. Occupational strain and possible trauma symptoms require further assessment; medical evaluation is indicated for persistent headaches.” That formulation is more useful than a broad conclusion that military service caused all current symptoms.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Section 6: Assessing the Transition to Civilian Life

A Change in Social World

Leaving service changes more than employment. A person may lose an established role, a schedule, a place to live, familiar health care, and daily contact with people who understand their work. Those changes can occur after a successful career or an unwanted separation. They can be welcome, distressing, or mixed.

Mobbs and Bonanno (2018) argued for greater attention to transition stress beyond the research focus on war and PTSD. Their review helps distinguish difficulty finding a civilian role from a trauma-related diagnosis. A veteran can feel disoriented and isolated without having PTSD. A veteran with PTSD can also face practical and relational transition tasks that symptom treatment alone will not resolve. Difficulty is common: 47% of post-9/11 veterans and 21% of earlier veterans told Pew that readjusting to civilian life was at least somewhat difficult, and only 52% said the military had prepared them well for the transition (Parker et al., 2019).

In practice, ask what ended and what began. “What did a typical week look like before you left, and what does it look like now?” can reveal lost routines, long periods without contact, or a new responsibility the client is struggling to carry. The answer may be more specific than a global statement that transition was difficult.

Work and Status

The civilian workplace may not recognize a veteran's responsibility in the terms the veteran expects. A job that pays adequately may offer less autonomy, less team trust, or a role the person experiences as unimportant. A person who managed complex equipment or supervised others may be starting over to obtain a civilian license or credential.

Explore the actual mismatch. Is the concern income, competence, status, boredom, moral purpose, or uncertainty about the next step? Different problems suggest different supports. A referral for employment assistance may be useful for a job search, while grief over a lost role requires a clinical conversation. Both can be present.

Avoid telling the client that civilians “just do not understand discipline.” Such a statement may feel validating briefly while reinforcing contempt and isolation. Ask what specific expectations differ and which responses are helping or worsening the problem. The client may need a way to translate skills, negotiate instructions, or tolerate a less explicit hierarchy without abandoning standards they value.

A clinician should also recognize real workplace mistreatment. Cultural adjustment is not the explanation for every conflict. If the employer is withholding pay, harassing the client, or ignoring an applicable protection, the person may need qualified employment or legal guidance. Clinical support can address the effects without trying to adjudicate the dispute.

Relationships and Belonging

A veteran may have supportive relatives and still miss a unit. Family love does not reproduce shared occupational experience or the feeling of being needed in a consequential task. Asking whether the person has “support” is therefore too broad on its own. Ask who understands particular concerns, who is available now, and which relationships the client actually uses.

Ahern and colleagues' (2015) interviews described difficulty reconnecting and searching for a new normal. These accounts can orient a clinician toward questions about belonging without becoming a script the client must fit. Some veterans remain closely connected with service peers; others prefer distance. Some find meaningful civilian communities quickly. Others need several attempts.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

If the client wants a military peer group, discuss the kind of setting that would feel useful: informal social activity, a shared hobby, structured peer support, or something linked to a particular identity. A general referral to “a veterans group” may be a poor fit for a woman who repeatedly feels dismissed there or a younger veteran who does not relate to the group's activities. The fit should be checked after contact.

Family members also transition. A spouse may have planned for more shared parenting and discover the veteran is struggling with unstructured time. A parent may interpret separation as giving up a secure opportunity. A client may resent relatives who celebrate the return without recognizing what was lost. Ask whose expectations shaped the decision and which expectations remain unresolved.

The Course of Adjustment Can Vary

Do not assume distress will steadily improve with time. Vogt and colleagues (2022) followed recently separated veterans for three years and found that most health and well-being indicators worsened over that period, with the largest declines among women. That is a reason to ask about transition well beyond the immediate return period.

A clinician can build a timeline of important changes: separation, work, housing, insurance, relationships, medical care, and contact with peers. Symptoms may emerge when an initial period of relief ends, a job proves disappointing, or savings run low. A person may also experience renewed difficulty years later when retirement or illness removes routines that had supported adjustment.

A negative screen immediately after return cannot settle later status. Milliken and colleagues (2007) assessed soldiers at return and again after a median interval of six months. Their combined screening identified mental health treatment needs in 20.3% of active-component and 42.4% of reserve-component soldiers. The cohort is historical, but the clinical lesson holds: reassess when circumstances or symptoms change.

Suicide Risk After Separation

Ravindran and colleagues (2020) studied approximately 1.87 million service members who separated between 2010 and 2017. Suicide rates rose after separation and generally peaked six to twelve months later. Risk was higher among younger members (those aged 17 to 19 had more than four times the hazard of those 40 and older), men, members with shorter service, and those leaving the Marine Corps or Army. These associations identify groups for prevention efforts; they cannot predict an individual's action.

A separate study of about 3.6 million former service members found higher suicide rates in each non-honorable discharge group than in the honorable group, with age-standardized rate ratios of 1.91 to 2.77 (Reger et al., 2025). The groups included general, other than honorable, dishonorable or bad conduct, and uncharacterized discharges. These are different classifications. Associated health problems and disrupted support may contribute to risk; the label itself should not be read as the cause.

VA's 2026 annual report, analyzing 2024 mortality data, counted 6,488 veteran suicides. The unadjusted veteran rate was 36.4 per 100,000, compared with 16.4 for non-veteran adults, and was highest among veterans aged 18 to 34 (45.5). Firearms were involved in 74.3% of veteran suicide deaths, and 62.2% of the veterans who died had not received VHA care in the year of death or the preceding year (U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Office of Mental Health and Suicide Prevention [VA OMHSP], 2026). Community clinicians are therefore part of veteran suicide prevention, and access to lethal means belongs in careful assessment.

The first year after separation warrants attention, but it is not the only period of concern. Tyler returned from deployment eight months ago and still serves in the Guard; return from deployment and final separation are different events. Do not apply a separation-cohort estimate to him as though they were identical. Daniela

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

separated two years ago, and Raymond decades earlier. Their current symptoms and circumstances still require assessment.

Translating Risk Evidence Into a Clinical Encounter

Ask directly about suicidal thoughts and behavior when indicated by routine procedures or the clinical presentation. A culturally informed approach explains the question and avoids assuming a veteran will be offended by directness. “When people are dealing with losses and feeling stuck, I ask about thoughts of death or suicide. What has that been like for you?” is one possible opening.

A positive response requires a competent suicide assessment and a plan appropriate to the findings. Explore current thoughts, intent, planning, recent behavior, prior attempts, substance use, agitation, available supports, and access to lethal means within the clinician's training and setting. Standardized tools can support this work but do not replace clinical formulation. A negative answer to one question does not override concerning behavior or collateral information.

Where acute risk exceeds what the outpatient setting can safely manage, arrange urgent evaluation and an appropriate level of care. Use the clinic's emergency procedures and applicable law. Cultural sensitivity affects communication; it does not justify delaying necessary action. Explain what is happening and include the client in choices whenever clinically and legally possible.

For ongoing care, make safety planning concrete and collaborative. Identify warning signs, coping steps, people and places that can provide support, professional resources, and ways to reduce access to lethal means. A promise not to act is not a substitute for this assessment and plan. The 2024 VA/DoD suicide risk guideline provides more detailed guidance for trained clinicians (Department of Veterans Affairs & Department of Defense, 2024).

Strengths Without Pressure to Be Resilient

Service can contribute skills, pride, lasting friendships, and a sense of purpose. Ask what the client wants to retain. Their answer may identify a treatment resource: planning skills, willingness to practice, loyalty to others, physical activity, or a capacity to act despite discomfort. A strength is useful when it can be connected to a present goal the person values.

Avoid treating resilience as a demand. A veteran may already feel ashamed for struggling despite extensive training. “You have handled worse” can minimize the current difficulty. Ask what has helped before and whether that strategy is still available, rather than assuming past endurance makes present distress easy to manage.

Posttraumatic growth refers to perceived positive changes following trauma. In a nationally representative sample, 50.1% of veterans reported at least moderate growth related to their worst traumatic event; growth was associated with social connectedness and purpose (Tsai et al., 2015). A later nationally representative longitudinal study found different trajectories over four years, including low and decreasing, consistently moderate, and high and increasing growth (Tsai & Pietrzak, 2017). These findings leave room for change over time. Ask whether growth language fits the person's experience. A client can pursue a meaningful life while continuing to grieve, struggle, or reject the idea that the trauma had a benefit.

Practical goals can rebuild a sense of contribution without requiring service to remain central. The person may choose parenting, paid work, study, volunteering, religious life, art, or recreation. A referral should fit the goal rather than the clinician's idea of what veterans should do. Follow-up asks whether the activity was meaningful, accessible, and sustainable.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

A Transition Map for Daniela

Daniela reports that leaving the Navy was her choice. She wanted more time with her son and believed she could transfer her supervisory skills to civilian work. She now finds work less satisfying, has fewer peers nearby, and feels criticized at home. These additional fictional facts make room for grief over the role she left while preserving the reasons she chose to leave.

The clinician maps what she misses: responsibility, a team, clear standards, and regular feedback. They also identify what she values now: involvement with her son, a less punishing schedule, and the ability to decide where she lives. Treatment does not require proving that separation was a mistake or a success. It can help her build routines and relationships that support the life she chose.

An initial goal might be one weekly activity that involves cooperation rather than supervision with her son, alongside exploring a professional or peer connection. The goal should be agreed, feasible, and measured in a way Daniela finds meaningful. If depression, trauma symptoms, or another disorder is identified, those needs are addressed directly rather than absorbed into the phrase “transition stress.”

Raymond's transition map begins elsewhere. His immediate loss is his wife, who may have organized daily routines and provided connection. His old discharge may shape shame and access beliefs, but the clinician should not make a decades-old separation the center of treatment without evidence that it is central now. The timeline protects the formulation from that error.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Section 7: Conducting the First Session

Ask About Service Routinely

Ask everyone: “Have you ever served in the military, including the National Guard or Reserve?” Allow clients to decline on forms. Explain that service can affect health and access to resources; identification should not depend on appearance or acceptance of the veteran label.

In a federally qualified health center, identified veterans increased from 56 (0.32% of patients) to 506 (3.01%) after staff revised the screening item and made the field required (Howren et al., 2020). Because both wording and process changed, the increase cannot be attributed to wording alone.

Ask separately, “Has someone close to you served, and does that affect anything you want help with?” A military connection opens a relevant part of the history; the presenting concern may be unrelated.

If an interview reveals service after a negative intake response, clarify without accusation: “The form uses the word veteran. That may not be the word you use. How would you describe your service?” Record the history and preferred language.

Set an Agenda Before Collecting a Long History

Explain the appointment’s purpose, duration, and use of information. Ask what the client most wants to leave with. An agenda gives the questions a purpose and helps the client participate.

A possible opening is: “I want to understand what brought you in, ask about health and safety, and agree on a next step. Your service may be part of that picture. We can decide what needs detail today.”

Start with the person’s account, then assess the diagnoses and risks requiring attention. Daniela’s referral says irritability; she wants fewer arguments with her son. Raymond’s referral may say depression; he wants help managing evenings since his wife died.

Ask how much structure the client prefers: “Would a clear plan for each session help, or would you prefer more room to decide as we go?” Some welcome an agenda; others value relief from following orders. Revisit the preference as treatment develops.

Take a Focused Military History

Gather enough military history to formulate and plan care. Bowman and colleagues (2019) describe resources for asking about service and exposures. Appendix A offers this course’s original prompts; it does not reproduce the VA pocket card.

Begin with branch, component, dates, occupation, and current status. Add rank, responsibilities, deployments, activations, injuries, and transitions as relevant. Ask what service means now and how current work, relationships, housing, health care, and coverage affect the plan. Follow clinical relevance rather than a fixed checklist.

Explain discharge questions and allow deferral when they are not immediately needed: “If we discuss VA options, the separation paperwork may affect which office should review eligibility. Would you like help checking?” A DD-214 records release or discharge from active duty; one document may not describe the entire service history. Do not demand it before offering care.

Table 5 groups questions by purpose and pacing.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Table 5. Military History Questions by Purpose and Pacing

Topic	Early question	When more detail becomes useful
Service and current status	Have you ever served, including Guard or Reserve?	Clarify current duties, upcoming orders, and status changes
Occupation and responsibility	What was your work, and what did a usual day involve?	Link demands and exposures to the presenting concern
Pride and meaning	What do you value about that time?	Explore identity, loss, or conflict in the client's terms
Return and separation	When did the last deployment end, and when did you leave service?	Build a symptom and life-event timeline
Health and injury	Were there injuries or exposures that still affect you?	Arrange indicated medical or specialty evaluation
Discharge and benefits	Have you had any difficulty getting services connected with your service?	Ask about records and eligibility review with explanation and consent
Trauma and loss	Are there experiences that still trouble you or affect daily life?	Assess symptoms and safety; obtain narrative detail only when clinically useful
Supports and family	Who knows what you are dealing with?	Plan authorized support or family involvement where appropriate

Keep inquiry about classified or operationally sensitive experiences general. Clinical assessment usually needs their broad nature and effects, not protected details. If the client is unsure what can be shared, help identify an appropriate authority; the clinician cannot authorize disclosure.

Ask About Strengths Early

Ask, “What did you do well in service?” or “What would someone who worked with you say you were good at?” These questions make competence visible when symptoms or misconduct dominate the client’s account.

Connect the answer to the present problem. Does planning under pressure help now or become overcontrol? Is teamwork available in civilian life? Explore where the skill remains useful and where its costs have changed.

If Raymond says his discharge cancels out everything, leave room for mixed experience: “There may be parts you regret and parts you did well. We do not have to sort all of that today.”

Pace Trauma Inquiry While Completing Necessary Assessment

Establish relevant exposures and current effects before asking for detail. Explain the purpose of further questions, offer choices where possible, and identify what can wait. Military service alone is no reason to request the most distressing story.

“Did you kill anyone?” imposes a stereotype when asked from curiosity. If distress involves an action the client took, a trained clinician may need to understand it during assessment or treatment. Establish that clinical purpose before asking.

Responding to a service history with “Do you have PTSD?” can imply a diagnosis before assessment. Ask about symptoms. Clarify whether a prior clinician made the diagnosis, whether the client disagrees, or whether the term is being used informally.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

If detailed disclosure begins, consider regulation, purpose, and remaining time. A clinician might say, "We have about fifteen minutes left, and I want time to respond to what you are telling me. What would help us use that time and leave you with a workable plan?" Avoid an abrupt interruption or ending mid-crisis without a plan.

Handle Gratitude and Disagreement Naturally

Some veterans appreciate being thanked; others find a routine phrase awkward or feel cast into a role they did not choose. Mercurio (2019) examines civilian-military discourse and the limits of ritual gratitude. In a 2023 industry poll, about two thirds of veterans under 45 said the phrase made them uncomfortable, while most veterans over 65 did not (Lawrence, 2023). That poll did not examine therapy encounters. Attend to how the client responds rather than treating a thank-you as a required opening.

Ask about the client's work or what they want understood. If a thank-you lands awkwardly, repair it simply: "That sounded automatic. I would rather understand your experience." Leave the client free to respond without reassuring the clinician.

If a client says, "You were not there," acknowledge it: "I was not. I can learn the basics outside session. What matters most for me to understand before we go further?"

Explore what the preference for a veteran therapist means: shared experience, trust, communication, or something else. Offer a suitable referral when feasible and maintain needed support during transition.

Language Access

Ask about preferred spoken and written language. Conversational fluency does not ensure understanding of consent forms or clinical terms. A client may prefer different languages for military work and family experience.

Use a qualified interpreter when needed under applicable requirements. Relatives, especially children, should not routinely substitute: they may omit information or learn something the client did not intend to disclose. Explain interpretation and confidentiality before sensitive discussion.

Provide bilingual care within actual competence. Ask what terms mean to the client; literal translation may miss their use. Relatives unfamiliar with military life may need explanations of rank, component, benefits, or command terms.

Ask which language Daniela wants for any discussion involving her mother. Invite a family meeting only with appropriate consent, safety, and a treatment purpose. The mother's preferences do not override Daniela's individual care.

Complete Routine Assessment With Military Context Added

Add military history to the usual assessment of medical conditions, medications, sleep, substances, safety, past treatment, relationships, functioning, and goals. Include practical needs such as housing, food, transport, insurance, and legal stress when they affect care.

Explain and use validated measures when indicated. PHQ-9 and PCL-5 scores can support symptom assessment and tracking; neither supplies a diagnosis alone. Follow up suicide-related responses, even when a total score appears reassuring.

Ask about civilian and earlier adversity too. Childhood trauma, interpersonal violence, discrimination, bereavement, or occupational exposure may be more relevant to the presenting concern than service.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

End With a Shared Next Step

Summarize the working understanding and invite correction: “Sleep and drinking worsened after the breakup. We still need to understand the headaches and deployment return. Today we agreed on a medical referral and follow-up about sleep and alcohol.”

Check that the client can reach the referral, attend around duties, and obtain help if symptoms worsen. Agree who will take the first action. Set follow-up timing and contact arrangements according to the assessed risk.

Document relevant service facts, findings, risk formulation, consent, and agreed actions. Separate observation from interpretation: “Client answered briefly and requested an agenda” supports less than “Client was emotionally detached because of military conditioning.”

Write a two-sentence summary for one case. Include one uncertainty and a specific next action. Check that the summary fits that client and does not imply an unestablished diagnosis.

A Worked First Session With Tyler

Tyler attends voluntarily in a Florida LMHC’s private practice. He pays privately, without TRICARE or VA authorization, and reports drilling in the Guard without current active-duty orders. The practice follows HIPAA and Florida confidentiality requirements. A command-directed evaluation or other treatment arrangement would require a different explanation.

Clinician: “What would make today useful?”

Tyler: “I want to sleep. I do not want this becoming a thing with the Guard.”

Clinician: “What are you worried they might receive?”

Tyler: “That I am here. A diagnosis. Someone said they can pull your records.”

Clinician: “We do not automatically notify your unit. You are paying privately, so we are not submitting an insurance claim. I still keep a clinical record of your symptoms, assessment, and plan.”

Tyler: “What if my sergeant calls?”

Clinician: “We check who is asking and what legal authority they have. Being your supervisor does not by itself give someone your chart. If you want us to send information, we can review a written authorization specifying what to send.”

Tyler: “So you would check with me first?”

Clinician: “We would discuss a release with you. Some disclosures can happen without your permission, including required abuse reports and certain safety or legal situations. I would explain a necessary disclosure when legally and safely possible. I cannot promise advance notice every time.”

Tyler: “But you would not just tell my unit I am here?”

Clinician: “Correct, attendance here is not an automatic report. A military request still needs review under the rules that apply. And clearance or duty reviews may have their own reporting questions; I cannot decide those for you.”

HIPAA permission to disclose under the military command exception differs from a duty to disclose. The practice must also consider state protections and the treatment arrangement (Defense Health Agency, 2025; Fla. Stat. § 491.0147, 2026).

Clinician: “What would you expect us to do if your supervisor called?”

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Tyler: “Check the request. Not just tell him everything.”

Clinician: “Yes. What else do you need clarified before we talk about sleep?”

Tyler: “I can talk about sleep. I do not want the whole deployment story.”

Clinician: “We can begin with sleep and brief health and safety questions. A detailed deployment account can wait.”

Tyler sleeps four to five hours, wakes after initially falling asleep, and has twice been late to his apprenticeship. He drinks four to six standard drinks most evenings, increasing over six weeks after the breakup. Clarifying container size and alcohol concentration changes his estimate of “a couple.” He denies morning drinking, prior withdrawal seizures, or medically managed withdrawal. He reports no current tremor, sweating, vomiting, confusion, or hallucinations; no intoxication is apparent. Medical review is indicated. Absence of current withdrawal symptoms does not establish that stopping will be safe (National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, n.d.).

Clinician: “You are still waking, and drinking is affecting work. I want a medical clinician to review the alcohol use and sleep problem, including whether changing your drinking needs medical support.”

Direct assessment covers thoughts of death, suicide, intent, planning, preparation, past attempts, supports, and access to lethal means. Tyler denies current or recent suicidal thoughts, prior attempts, and preparatory behavior. He identifies his sister as a trusted support and plans to attend work and drill. The clinician explores access to his hunting rifle, storage, recent changes, and alcohol-related judgment. Neither training nor denial of suicidal thoughts supplies a stand-alone assurance of safety.

He reports intermittent headaches without recent injury, acute neurological symptoms, or immediate medical warning signs elicited in the interview. The working formulation is insomnia and increased alcohol use after a breakup, with medical and psychiatric contributors under assessment. Exposure and symptom information are insufficient to establish PTSD.

Tyler: “I was support. I do not think this is PTSD.”

Clinician: “We have not established PTSD. We can assess what is affecting sleep without deciding the cause from your job title.”

With permission, they call primary care and secure a next-day appointment. Therapy follow-up is arranged within three days, before drill, to review the medical recommendations, drinking, sleep, and functioning. They review instructions for urgent evaluation if withdrawal symptoms or other acute concerns develop and how to obtain help between visits. Tyler prefers to leave a Vet Center inquiry until the next session.

Clinician: “Medical visit tomorrow, then we meet Thursday to review it. What might make either appointment hard to attend?”

A brief teaching note could read: “Client reports increased evening alcohol use, sleep disruption, and lateness after breakup. Quantity and withdrawal history assessed; no acute symptoms reported or apparent, but medical withdrawal risk assessment indicated. Direct suicide assessment elicited no current or recent ideation, intent, plan, preparation, or prior attempt; firearm access and support discussed. No current finding requiring emergency transfer identified. Next-day medical appointment arranged with consent; therapy follow-up in three days; urgent-care instructions reviewed.”

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Debriefing the Sequence

Confidentiality came before deployment detail. The explanation identified the treatment arrangement and corrected a promise Tyler hoped to hear. Heavy evening drinking and work impairment then made medical review the next task. The optional resource inquiry could wait.

Change one fact: a withdrawal seizure that morning requires urgent medical assessment. Intent to use an accessible firearm tonight requires immediate suicide risk management. Failure to obtain the next-day appointment requires another timely assessment route. Explain how each finding changes the plan before continuing the cultural history.

Write your practice's confidentiality explanation for a current service member. Identify its supporting law, policy, or contract, and check whether any promise of "never" can be defended.

© 2026 CEU Matrix

Section 8: Interpreting Behavior in Context

Hold the Hypotheses Open

A clinician hears a brief answer, notices limited facial expression, and records "flat affect." The observation may be accurate, but its meaning is unsettled. The client may be depressed, guarded, tired, culturally restrained, unfamiliar with the clinician, or simply direct. A mental status description should not silently become a causal theory.

The same problem appears with vigilance, structure, humor, and alcohol use. The clinician needs enough cultural knowledge to recognize possible adaptations and enough clinical discipline to assess distress and impairment. Calling everything a symptom overpathologizes. Calling everything military culture can conceal a treatable condition or a safety problem.

For this course, use five questions as an original reasoning aid: What was the behavior for? Where does it occur now? How flexible is it? What does it cost? What else might explain it? It is a teaching aid rather than a validated instrument, and it organizes inquiry so that a familiar behavior is neither dismissed nor medicalized automatically.

Purpose asks about the behavior's history. Context asks whether it fits the current situation. Flexibility asks whether the person can choose a different response. Cost examines distress, relationships, work, sleep, and safety. Alternative explanations include medical problems, medication effects, substance use, sleep disorders, current threats, and other psychiatric conditions. The answer can support more than one explanation.

What Battlemind Contributes

Battlemind, developed within the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, offered a way to discuss how skills useful in combat may require adjustment at home. The training paired each skill with the problem it can become: buddies and withdrawal, tactical awareness and hypervigilance, emotional control and detachment, mission operational security and secretiveness, targeted aggression and inappropriate aggression, combat driving and aggressive driving, and discipline and ordering with conflict at home (Walter Reed Army Institute of Research Land Combat Study Team [WRAIR], 2006).

In a platoon-randomized trial of about 2,300 soldiers returning from Iraq, Battlemind training and debriefing produced small benefits on PTSD, depression, and sleep symptoms, mainly among soldiers with high combat exposure, and large-group training was associated with lower stigma (Adler et al., 2009). Battlemind is used here as a historical psychoeducational frame, not as a treatment.

Table 6 adapts that frame for civilian assessment. It is not a scoring tool, and not every veteran learned these behaviors.

Table 6. Learned Responses and Questions About Clinical Significance

Behavior observed	Possible learned or contextual function	Questions about clinical significance
Monitoring entrances	Awareness developed in a setting where threat monitoring mattered	Can attention shift in a safe place? Is the behavior exhausting or impairing?
Restricted emotional display	Maintaining task performance under pressure or protecting privacy	Does the client experience emotional numbing, depression, or unwanted distance?

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Behavior observed	Possible learned or contextual function	Questions about clinical significance
Detailed routines and instructions	Managing complex work and preventing errors	Can standards change with the task? What happens in relationships when they do not?
Limited disclosure	Occupational security, mistrust, or a preference for privacy	What does the client believe can be shared? Does avoidance block needed care?
Strong affiliation with former peers	Belonging and shared understanding	Are current connections supportive, isolating, or associated with harmful behavior?
Rapid or forceful response	A trained response to urgency or a current threat	Is the response proportionate, controllable, and safe in the present setting?

A useful explanation preserves the behavior's original function without endorsing every present consequence. “You learned to check quickly because missing something mattered. We can look at whether that level of checking is still needed here and what it costs you” offers a formulation to examine. The client may disagree with the explanation, and the clinician should revise it.

Vigilance and Current Threat

A client who prefers a chair facing the door may be making a comfortable seating choice. Ask before treating it as a symptom. The behavior becomes more concerning when it is pervasive, unwanted, exhausting, associated with other symptoms, or interferes with ordinary activities. On its own, it is not evidence of PTSD.

Do not assume a setting is safe because the clinician finds it safe. A client experiencing stalking, neighborhood violence, discrimination, or an abusive partner may have reasons to monitor surroundings. A recommendation to “let your guard down” could ignore an actual threat. Assess environmental conditions alongside the person's response.

Ask for examples across settings. Can the client relax at a trusted friend's house? Do they leave a restaurant because they cannot sit where they prefer? Does scanning interfere with conversation or sleep? When did it start, and what changes it? These questions help distinguish a preference, a learned habit, an anxiety response, and clinically significant hyperarousal.

A clinician should also avoid exposure instructions beyond their training. The goal of an introductory formulation is to identify a possible treatment need. If the client has impairing trauma symptoms, discuss an appropriate assessment and treatment referral rather than improvising an intervention from a cultural explanation.

Directness and Emotional Control

Direct speech may be efficient, comfortable, or learned in a task-focused environment. It can also coexist with anger or interpersonal difficulty. Ask how others respond and what the client wants to change. The client's communication style should not be labeled hostile solely because it differs from the clinician's preferred conversational style.

Similarly, limited visible emotion is not the same as a lack of emotion. “What is happening internally as we talk about this?” allows the client to describe experience that is not obvious. A person may report sadness while maintaining a controlled expression. Another may describe an inability to feel connected that they find distressing. The latter warrants further clinical assessment.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

A client may also use military language to avoid discussing a painful topic. The clinician can notice that gently without making a global interpretation: “We have described the schedule clearly. I am also wondering what the return has been like for you.” If the client prefers practical work first, explain how the chosen treatment approach relates to that preference and revisit the question when useful.

Structure in a Family Setting

Daniela's routines worked in a context where standards, authority, and consequences were explicit. Her son has a different role and a different need for autonomy. The clinician can ask for one recent interaction rather than debate whether she is “controlling.” What instruction was given? What happened next? What did each person believe was at stake?

The behavior's function might be preventing chaos, reducing anxiety, expressing care, or maintaining familiar authority. The cost may include conflict and emotional distance. A clinically useful target is a specific response Daniela wants to change, such as pausing before repeating an instruction or negotiating a household responsibility. Cultural knowledge informs the formulation; it does not make her family responsible for accommodating every habit.

If her directness is part of coercive or abusive conduct, assess that directly. A history of military leadership does not excuse threats, humiliation, or violence. Likewise, disagreement from a teenager is not evidence of a psychiatric disorder. The clinician should evaluate behavior using the same standards of consent and safety applied elsewhere.

Dark Humor

Humor may express belonging, maintain distance, test the clinician, or help a person speak about something difficult. Its function should be assessed rather than assumed. Raymond's joke can be acknowledged without either joining in automatically or treating it as proof that he is minimizing.

A possible response is, “You can joke about it, and I still want to understand how the evenings have been since she died.” If a comment includes hopelessness or a suggestion of suicide, ask directly about its meaning and current risk. A familiar style does not cancel concerning content.

Clinicians should be alert to their own reactions. Laughing to appear culturally fluent can reinforce language that the client did not expect the therapist to endorse. Scolding can make the encounter feel judgmental. A simple inquiry about what the joke does for the person often provides more information than either response.

Alcohol and Sleep as Overlapping Problems

Tyler describes drinking with former peers as the only time he feels understood. He also uses alcohol to fall asleep and is arriving late to work. The social function matters, and the consequences matter. Labeling the behavior culturally normal would miss the impairment; treating it only as a symptom would miss the relationship it currently supplies.

Ask how sleep differs on drinking and non-drinking nights, whether there are nightmares, whether shift schedules changed, and whether medical assessment is needed. Sleep problems can have multiple causes, including breathing disorders, pain, substances, medications, and psychiatric symptoms. A military explanation should not delay indicated medical care.

The formulation may support several simultaneous actions: an alcohol assessment, medical review of sleep concerns, treatment for an identified disorder, and exploration of ways to connect with peers that do not depend on drinking. Sequence these according to risk, readiness, and the client's goals. Avoid promising that changing one variable will resolve the others.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Cultural Formulation as a Structured Conversation

Meyer and colleagues (2022) identified 22 military culture domains in the literature and found that the core DSM-5 Cultural Formulation Interview would elicit only 5 of them without added guidance. Their military adaptation adds instructions, rewordings, and probes, and it is available through the article's supplementary materials.

The approach supports questions about the client's explanation of the problem, identity, supports, stressors, previous help-seeking, and expectations of care. A clinician can use the published instrument within its terms or use the general principle of inviting the client's cultural explanation. A cultural interview supplements diagnostic assessment rather than replacing it.

For Daniela, ask what her family calls the problem, what she calls it, and where those accounts differ. For Tyler, ask what seeking help means in his unit and in his civilian workplace. For Raymond, ask how his discharge influences what he believes he deserves. The clinical value is in the individual response, not completing a set of culturally themed questions.

Discussing Firearm Storage

Firearm access belongs in an appropriate safety assessment. Ownership may reflect hunting, recreation, protection, work, collecting, or several reasons. Military training says nothing about current storage practices, and ownership alone is not a sign of suicidal intent. Ask neutrally about access, including access to firearms owned by someone else.

Newell and colleagues (2021) found that veterans in primary care accepted firearm storage discussions when the clinician explained why they were asking, acknowledged the veteran's training and firearm experience, and used the phrase “firearm storage” rather than “firearm safety.” Polzer and colleagues (2023) found that women veterans preferred a trusted clinician who explained the reason for the conversation and attended to personal circumstances. Khazanov and colleagues' (2022) qualitative systematic review found that clients felt judged when clinicians reacted negatively to ownership. These studies describe acceptability, not suicide prevention outcomes.

A clinician might say, “Because you have described feeling hopeless and drinking more, I want to talk about access to things that could make a crisis more dangerous. Are there firearms you could access at home or elsewhere?” If access exists, explore collaborative options to create time and distance during a period of risk. The conversation should respect the client's reasons for ownership while addressing the current clinical concern.

Where clinically appropriate, storage changes may be voluntary and temporary. Options can include securing access or lawful temporary storage outside the home. Their feasibility depends on the household, applicable law, and the client's circumstances. Do not direct a person to bring a firearm to the clinic. Do not ask an untrained family member to manage a dangerous situation. If there is an acute emergency or a required legal action, follow the relevant clinical and legal procedures rather than describing every option as voluntary.

Include other lethal means as indicated, such as medications. The goal is a feasible safety plan fitted to the current risk, not an argument about firearm policy. The clinician should have training in lethal means safety counseling and seek consultation when unsure how to proceed.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Household Access and Relationship Safety

The person who owns a firearm may not be the person who controls access. Ask who lives in the home and whether a proposed storage change would create conflict or danger. A client in a coercive relationship may be unable to make a safe joint plan with a partner. Do not automatically invite the partner into the discussion.

With consent and where safe, a trusted person can help implement an agreed plan. Clarify the task and whether the person is willing and able to do it. A vague statement that "family will secure everything" is not a completed plan. Follow-up should check implementation, changed circumstances, and whether the plan still fits the client's needs.

For Tyler, ask about hunting and household access without assuming a rifle is the only available means or that familiar handling eliminates risk. For Raymond, age and bereavement justify careful inquiry about current thoughts and access; they are not evidence of intent. Daniela should receive the same appropriate screening and safety assessment rather than be excluded because the teaching case emphasizes parenting.

Documenting a Contextual Formulation

A useful note separates observation, client explanation, clinical inference, and action. For example: "Client reports monitoring entrances began during deployment. It now occurs in several low-threat settings, disrupts conversations, and is difficult to reduce. Further trauma symptom assessment planned." The note describes why the behavior warrants attention without claiming that military training alone explains it.

For Daniela: "Client identifies former supervisory routines as contributing to repeated conflict at home; reports low mood and reduced interest requiring further assessment." This avoids replacing a psychiatric assessment with a reassuring cultural story. For Raymond: "Client uses humor while describing grief; direct assessment of hopelessness and suicide risk completed" distinguishes style from safety work.

The clinician should be able to explain what new information would change the formulation. If no possible answer could alter the conclusion that the behavior is "military," the cultural explanation has become a stereotype. If the behavior would be diagnosed solely from its appearance, the assessment needs more context.

© 2026 CEU Matrix

Section 9: Improving Treatment Fit and Continuity

What Dropout Research Can Tell a Civilian Clinician

Dropout is defined differently across studies. It may mean leaving before a prescribed number of sessions, ending a protocol without agreement, or discontinuing before a clinician judges treatment complete. These definitions do not necessarily describe the same clinical event. A client who improved and chose to stop is different from one who could not afford another visit.

Edwards-Stewart and colleagues' (2021) meta-analysis of military and veteran PTSD treatment trials reported an aggregated dropout rate of 24.2%, higher for trauma-focused therapies (27.1%) than for other approaches (16.1%). In a routine VA clinic, Kehle-Forbes and colleagues (2016) found that 38.5% of veterans who initiated prolonged exposure or cognitive processing therapy dropped out. In Schnurr and colleagues' (2022) large comparative trial, dropout reached 55.8% for prolonged exposure and 46.6% for cognitive processing therapy. The estimates differ by setting and definition, so none of them is a rate for veterans in civilian therapy.

Nor do these studies show that veterans are inherently difficult clients; almost all of the research comes from VA or military settings. The findings are reasons to examine early engagement, treatment expectations, and barriers.

A useful practice question is what the clinic knows when a client stops. Does the record identify a financial barrier, a move, symptom improvement, a preference for another approach, a relationship rupture, or an unknown reason? “Noncompliant” records almost none of that. Better information can improve the next conversation and the clinic's process.

Attend to the First Appointments

In Kehle-Forbes and colleagues' (2016) clinic, about a quarter of those who dropped out left before the third session. A clinician should not postpone discussion of fit until a formal review many sessions later. By the end of the first visit, the client should know what the working plan is and why it relates to the goal they named.

Early engagement includes practical reliability. Start on time when possible, explain delays, keep agreements about contact, and follow through on information the clinician promised to check. A client who asks for a clear rationale should receive one. Respectful structure is more useful than trying to sound military.

Ask about prior treatment: what helped, what did not fit, why it ended, and what the person wants done differently. A client may have liked a therapist but disliked the treatment tasks. Another may have agreed with the treatment but found appointments impossible. The distinction affects what should change now.

Hoge and colleagues (2014) identified clinician communication concerns in a small subgroup of soldiers who left PTSD care. The subgroup was small, but it points to a question the clinician can actually ask: “Is there anything about how we are working together that makes it harder for you to use this?”

Explain the Treatment Rationale

A rationale connects the intervention to a problem and a desired change. “This is evidence based” may be true but is not a complete explanation. A client also needs to understand what sessions involve, what work may occur between visits, what discomfort is expected, what alternatives exist, and how progress will be reviewed.

When the clinician recommends a treatment outside their own training, describe it accurately at an introductory level and arrange an appropriate referral. Do not give a military-themed explanation that

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

substitutes for competence in the method. A metaphor about training cannot make an improvised trauma intervention equivalent to a studied protocol.

Hundt and colleagues (2020) found that most veterans who left prolonged exposure or cognitive processing therapy described therapy-related reasons such as poor fit, no buy-in to the rationale, or alliance problems, yet 61% still described positive experiences with their therapist. Kehle-Forbes and colleagues (2022) found that completers reported more treatment-specific therapist support and more flexible delivery than non-completers. These accounts point to conversations worth having rather than a fixed prevention protocol.

If Tyler says, "I guess I just keep talking until I sleep," ask what he understood from the medical visit and the therapy plan. Then explain the proposed next task, what it is intended to change, and when they will review it. A client may trust the clinician and still not understand why a particular task matters.

Use Client Goals

Wells and colleagues (2025) found that veterans seeking PTSD treatment described functional and well-being goals as well as symptom reduction. That supports asking what improvement would look like in daily life. A score can be clinically useful while leaving out the reason the person came.

Daniela may want to spend an evening with her son without giving instructions. Tyler may want to arrive at work rested and stop using alcohol as his primary sleep strategy. Raymond may want evenings to feel manageable and to reconnect with one person after his wife's death. These goals can coexist with diagnosis-specific treatment and risk management.

Translate a broad goal into a workable indicator. Ask what the client would do differently, how often, and under what conditions. A target should be realistic and revisable. It should not imply that the client controls another person's behavior or that feeling no distress is the only acceptable outcome.

Ask before describing treatment as a mission or training. Some clients welcome familiar language; others associate it with obligations they want a break from. Use the client's preference to choose the wording, and explain the treatment itself in ordinary clinical terms.

Use Measurement as a Conversation

Measurement-based care involves collecting clinically relevant information, sharing it with the client, and using it to guide decisions. Resnick and Hoff (2020) describe implementation within VA mental health care. Administering a questionnaire without reviewing its meaning is a narrower activity.

Choose measures suited to the concern and use their instructions correctly. Explain the time frame, the role of the score, and what it does not establish. Review individual responses where they require follow-up. If a score improves while the client says life feels worse, investigate the discrepancy rather than choosing whichever account supports the clinician's preferred conclusion.

Add an individual functional indicator where useful. Daniela might track conflicts she wants to handle differently; Tyler might track sleep and drinking using a clinically appropriate method; Raymond might track planned social contact and ability to complete daily tasks. These personal indicators supplement, rather than replace, established measures.

Agree when to review progress. A review can ask whether the goal still fits, whether the intervention is being used, whether barriers have changed, and whether the level or type of care remains appropriate. Lack of progress is information for shared decision-making, not proof that the client is unwilling to improve.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Repair a Misunderstanding

A rupture may begin with a small assumption: calling a Marine a soldier, assuming a woman was a spouse, treating a discharge as disqualifying, or thanking a client in a way that feels automatic. Correct the mistake plainly and return to its effect on the client. An elaborate defense of good intentions asks the client to care for the therapist.

Suppose the clinician tells Tyler, “Once you are out of the military, it is hard to adjust,” and Tyler responds, “I am still in.” A useful repair is: “You are right. I treated returning from deployment as leaving service. You are balancing Guard duties and civilian work now. What am I missing about that?” The clinician then updates the record and the formulation.

If Daniela hears the therapist describe her as rigid, the clinician can examine the label: “I used that word too quickly. I want to understand where structure helps you and where it creates a problem you want to change.” This does not require withdrawing every observation. It separates an agreed behavioral target from a judgment about her character.

A repair is tested by what changes next. If the clinician repeats the assumption, the acknowledgment loses credibility. Learn the relevant term, correct the documentation, and ask follow-up questions that reflect the new information.

Offer Flexibility Within Treatment Requirements

Flexibility can address scheduling, modality, accessibility, and the way explanations are delivered. It should not quietly remove core components from a treatment while preserving its name. If changes materially alter an evidence-based protocol, consult, explain the implications, and document the rationale.

For example, a client with cognitive or reading difficulties may need accessible materials and a slower explanation. A client with irregular orders may need a plan for interruptions. A client who cannot obtain privacy at home may need an office visit rather than telehealth. These are practical fit questions that can be addressed without assuming every concern is a manifestation of avoidance.

Trauma-focused work can coexist with a strong alliance. Chen and colleagues (2020) found high patient-rated alliance in both cognitive processing therapy and non-trauma-focused psychotherapy groups in their VA sample. The finding challenges the assumption that structure or trauma focus inherently prevents a good relationship.

Responding to a Missed Appointment

Before treatment begins, explain the attendance policy, permitted contact methods, and what follow-up occurs after a missed visit. A check-in should be consistent with consent, privacy, risk, and the clinic's procedures. Do not use language that shames the client for letting the clinician down.

When contact is possible, ask what happened and whether the plan still fits. A change in orders, transportation, childcare, finances, or clinical status may require a different response. If the client wishes to stop, discuss progress, remaining needs, alternatives, and how to return. If there is a significant safety concern, follow the risk-based contact and escalation procedure rather than treating the event as routine scheduling.

Do not make closure dependent on a perfect final session. Some clients will not respond. Document the efforts made, the known risks and resources, and the clinical basis for the next step. Avoid claiming to know why the client stopped if the reason remains unknown.

© 2026 CEU Matrix

Practice Choices for Common Missteps

Table 7 links a few common problems with a response and the type of support behind the recommendation.

Table 7. Common Missteps and More Useful Responses

Misstep	More useful response	Evidence distinction
Assuming deployment means combat	Ask about occupation, duties, and experiences	Population research supports variation; wording is a clinical application
Calling hesitation resistance before checking access	Ask about work, coverage, privacy, and transport	Barrier research supports practical inquiry
Providing a treatment with little explanation	Review rationale, tasks, alternatives, and goals	Qualitative dropout research identifies fit and understanding concerns
Reacting negatively to firearm ownership	Explain the clinical reason for discussing access and storage	Qualitative studies support respectful, transparent discussion
Using rank to predict personality	Ask about responsibility and the client's interpretation	Cultural assessment principle; not a validated personality inference
Treating a cultural explanation as the diagnosis	Assess flexibility, distress, impairment, and alternatives	Original reasoning aid consistent with contextual assessment

© 2026 CEU Matrix

Section 10: Connecting Clients With Military and Veteran Resources

Match the Resource to the Task

A useful referral begins with what the client needs now. Emergency evaluation, ongoing psychotherapy, readjustment counseling, medical assessment, benefits representation, and social connection are different tasks. A program may serve one well and be inappropriate for another. Military affiliation alone does not identify the right destination.

Ask which problem the referral is meant to address, what the service provides, who determines eligibility, what it will cost, and how the client will make contact. Explain whether the service supplements current treatment or would replace part of it. If the client already has several clinicians, establish how roles will be coordinated before adding another.

With the client's permission, a warm referral can include calling the office together, asking the eligibility question, and agreeing who follows up. Record whether contact occurred and what remains open. Raymond's worked example in Section 12 shows the different response required when a client declines this optional assistance.

VA Health Care Eligibility

VA health care eligibility depends on service, discharge, and other rules, with important exceptions. Minimum-duty requirements apply to many people who entered service after the relevant statutory dates. Guard and Reserve service may qualify through particular periods of federal active service; training alone does not establish the same entitlement (U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, n.d.-a).

A clinician should not determine eligibility from the words “Guard,” “three years,” or “other than honorable.” Different periods of service, records, exposures, and benefit categories can affect the review. Encourage an application or an eligibility inquiry through VA, particularly when the client has relied on an informal answer received years earlier.

Enrollment, a disability rating, and a finding that a condition is service connected are different concepts. A client may use VA health care without compensation for the presenting condition. A benefits award is not a substitute for a clinical diagnosis, and a diagnosis does not by itself establish legal service connection. Keeping those distinctions clear avoids misleading referrals and documentation.

The practical explanation can be brief: “Your service history may open some options. I cannot determine eligibility here. VA's eligibility staff can review the records, and there may be services available even if general enrollment is uncertain.” Then identify the specific office or official application page rather than leaving the client with an abstract suggestion.

Discharge Characterization and Access

An other than honorable discharge is an administrative characterization; it is not interchangeable with a dishonorable discharge. Bad conduct and dishonorable discharges arise through military justice processes with their own legal implications. A clinician who does not understand a document should avoid translating it into a definitive benefits conclusion.

VA may conduct a character of discharge determination for benefits purposes. That process is distinct from a military discharge upgrade, which changes the military record through a different authority. One should not be

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

described as automatically accomplishing the other. Accredited benefits or legal assistance can help the client understand which route addresses the actual concern.

A 2024 VA rule, effective June 25, expanded access for some former members by changing regulatory bars and adding a compelling-circumstances exception. The regulation considers specified circumstances and does not make every non-honorable discharge eligible (Department of Veterans Affairs, 2024; Veterans Benefits Administration, n.d.). A prior denial may warrant asking whether a new review is available, but the clinician should not promise reversal.

Raymond's statement that he is "not entitled to anything" is therefore an access belief to examine. Ask whether he applied, what decision he received, and whether someone qualified reviewed his records. If he wants help, connect him with an accredited representative. His grief treatment need not wait for that process to finish.

Care When General Eligibility Is Uncertain

MST-related care, Vet Center counseling, and emergency suicidal crisis care have access rules distinct from general VA enrollment. Check the criteria for the specific service (U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, n.d.-e).

Under the COMPACT Act pathway, VA may provide or pay for eligible individuals' emergency suicidal crisis care at VA or non-VA facilities, including specified follow-up care. Public guidance describes up to 30 days of inpatient or crisis residential care and up to 90 days of outpatient care, subject to the program's criteria and implementation rules (U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, n.d.-b). These limits describe a benefit pathway; they are not a recommendation for a particular duration of treatment.

In an emergency, arrange needed care without waiting for an outpatient benefits determination. The receiving facility and VA can address the coverage process. Do not promise that every emergency bill for every former member will automatically be paid. Distinguish the urgent clinical action from the administrative review.

Federal law also provides specified mental and behavioral health pathways for certain former members under conditions defined in 38 U.S.C. § 1720I. This course does not teach the eligibility test. If discharge or service history seems to limit routine access, ask the VA mental health service or an accredited representative to review available pathways rather than trying to adjudicate them from memory.

Vet Centers

Vet Centers provide community-based readjustment counseling under eligibility rules that differ from general VA health care enrollment. Eligible groups extend beyond combat veterans and include specified MST experiences, certain disaster or emergency activations, and additional service categories. The current official eligibility page also includes qualifying drilling Reserve-component members with service-related behavioral health concerns and certain educational-benefit users with readjustment needs (U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, n.d.-g).

Do not compress these rules into "every veteran qualifies" or "only combat veterans qualify." Contact the local Vet Center when uncertain. Family participation can be available when it supports the eligible person's goals, and bereavement services have specified criteria. A local office can explain the service and determine whether it fits.

Vet Center records are maintained separately from the broader VA medical record and are not routinely available to command. Confidentiality has legal and safety exceptions, which the Vet Center should explain (U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, n.d.-f). In practice, records are not shared with other VA offices, DoD, or military units without the client's permission except to avert a life-threatening situation, so the referring clinician should describe the separation accurately rather than promise absolute secrecy.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Tyler's disaster activations and current Guard status make a Vet Center eligibility inquiry reasonable. The clinician asks what orders he served under and lets the Vet Center confirm the applicable route. His need for an alcohol or medical assessment may require another service as well. A readjustment referral should not displace indicated treatment.

Military OneSource and TRICARE

Military OneSource offers eligible members and families up to 12 counseling sessions per issue and describes eligibility for several current-service groups and a period after separation. Its counseling addresses common adjustment and relationship concerns; it does not provide treatment for the excluded clinical problems listed in its guidance, including active suicidal thoughts or alcohol and substance abuse (Military OneSource, n.d.). Confirm eligibility and current program scope before referring.

This distinction matters when a client says they have "free counseling" available. The question is whether that program can address the current clinical need. A person needing treatment for a diagnosable condition or a higher level of care may require a clinical pathway through military services, TRICARE, VA, or a civilian health plan.

TRICARE is a health program with beneficiary and plan-specific rules. Verify the client's enrollment, referral requirements, network options, authorization, and costs for the service requested. Do not assume that every Guard member has identical coverage or that a state license automatically makes a clinician an authorized TRICARE provider. Official TRICARE materials and the current contractor should guide specific questions.

For clinicians considering network participation, review current professional qualification and credentialing requirements directly. Degree, examination, supervision, state licensure, and provider-category rules can all matter. A general CE course is a poor place to promise that all counselors with a particular license can join. Appendix C points to official entry points rather than reproducing requirements likely to change.

VA Community Care

VA community care allows eligible veterans to receive authorized services from community providers. The authorization and the provider's network or contractual arrangements are distinct parts of the process. A veteran's preference to see a clinician does not itself create authorization, and the clinician's participation in a network does not authorize a particular episode of care (Veterans Health Administration, n.d.).

Use the live VA Community Care Network page to identify the administrator and process for the relevant location. Administrators and contracts can change. The durable sequence is to verify the referral, approved services, dates, visit limits, care coordination expectations, and process for requesting additional care before relying on reimbursement.

Explain this to the client without turning the session into a billing seminar. "We need to confirm the referral covers these visits and know what to do before it expires" gives the information needed to make a decision. Staff can complete the administrative check separately while the clinician remains responsible for continuity and treatment planning.

A community care referral may create documentation and coordination requirements that differ from ordinary private-pay care. Review the contract and authorization. Send information through approved channels and within applicable confidentiality rules. If another treatment is already in progress, clarify whether the referral duplicates it or addresses a different need.

© 2026 CEU Matrix

Clinical Guidelines and Consultation

The 2023 VA/DoD PTSD guideline recommends individual manualized trauma-focused psychotherapies, including prolonged exposure, cognitive processing therapy, and EMDR, and provides alternatives and medication guidance. This course introduces those options so a generalist can make an informed referral, not deliver a protocol without training (Department of Veterans Affairs & Department of Defense, 2023).

The 2024 suicide risk guideline addresses identification, assessment, and management, including interventions and follow-up considerations for particular populations and situations. It should be consulted in full when informing a service's procedures; a short course summary cannot substitute for the guideline's evidence ratings and qualifications (Department of Veterans Affairs & Department of Defense, 2024).

Other VA/DoD guidelines address depression, substance use, and mild TBI. Check the official guideline collection for the current version. When a client's needs exceed the clinician's competence, consultation may help clarify the next step and referral can provide the needed service. Neither action removes responsibility for appropriate care while the transition is being arranged.

The National Center for PTSD's Consultation Program offers consultation to clinicians treating veterans, including clinicians outside VA (National Center for PTSD, n.d.-c). Use it for clinical questions within its scope. It is not an emergency service or a substitute for direct treatment. Avoid sending identifiable information through an ordinary inquiry unless an authorized secure process has been established.

Benefits and Social Needs

For claims or decision review, VA-accredited Veterans Service Organization representatives, attorneys, and claims agents have defined roles. Accredited VSO representation is free; other accredited representatives may charge under applicable rules (U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, n.d.-c). Verify accreditation through VA rather than relying on a business's description of itself.

A treating clinician can provide appropriate records or a factual treatment summary with authorization. Do not predict the rating, entitlement, or outcome. If the client requests a nexus opinion or another evaluative statement, clarify the purpose and role before agreeing. Section 11 addresses the potential conflict between treatment and forensic evaluation.

Housing, food, transport, education, and employment can be as immediate as symptoms. Ask which need is urgent and identify a relevant local official resource or established service. A referral should account for eligibility, accessibility, language, and current availability. Avoid giving a large directory when the client is struggling to complete a single task.

In Florida, county veteran service offices and the Florida Department of Veterans' Affairs are practical starting points for benefits navigation. A veterans treatment court is a legal program with local eligibility and participation rules, not a routine clinical referral or a guarantee that a charge will be dismissed. Legal questions belong with qualified counsel and the court program.

Table 8. Resource Comparison

Need	Possible entry point	What to verify
Immediate danger or urgent psychiatric concern	Emergency services or an emergency department	Current location, safe access, handoff, and follow-up

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Need	Possible entry point	What to verify
General VA health care	VA eligibility and enrollment service	Service records, eligibility review, and current access route
Readjustment counseling	Local Vet Center	Specific eligibility, available services, and confidentiality limits
Conditions related to MST	VA MST coordinator	How to access the appropriate clinical service
Current-service adjustment concerns	Military OneSource or installation support	Program eligibility and whether the concern falls within scope
Clinical treatment using military coverage	TRICARE or military treatment facility	Plan, referral, authorization, provider status, and costs
VA-authorized civilian treatment	VA community care team	Approved episode, provider participation, and coordination requirements
Benefits claim or discharge-related access question	VA-accredited representative	Accreditation, role, fees if applicable, and the process being requested

© 2026 CEU Matrix

Section 11: Working Within Scope and Coordinating Ethically

Identify the Role Before Accepting the Task

Military-connected care may involve treatment, benefits records, command questions, legal proceedings, or an evaluation requested by another party. These roles have different purposes. Establish who requested the service, who the client is, what question will be answered, who will receive the result, and whether the clinician has the competence and independence required.

A person can be an effective therapist for a veteran while lacking the skills for a brain injury evaluation or a disability opinion. Cultural knowledge does not replace specialty preparation. When a request crosses roles, pause before promising a letter, test, or conclusion. Clarifying the task early can prevent a conflict that becomes much harder to resolve after information has been collected.

Table 9 identifies common consultation points. The actions are orientation for a licensed generalist; the individual clinician's actual scope and setting still govern.

Table 9. Common Consultation and Referral Points

Presentation or request	Generalist contribution	Consultation or referral point
Possible PTSD	Assess symptoms and functioning within competence; explain options	A trauma-trained clinician when a needed treatment is outside current skills
Persistent cognitive symptoms after reported injury	Obtain history, coordinate medical review, adapt communication	Medical or neuropsychological evaluation as indicated
MST-related needs	Respond supportively, assess current needs and safety, explain access options	MST coordinator or appropriately trained specialty clinician
Substance use with possible withdrawal or severe impairment	Assess urgency and arrange suitable care	Medical assessment or a higher level of substance use treatment
Acute suicide risk beyond outpatient capacity	Conduct competent assessment and arrange urgent evaluation	Emergency or specialty services with an active handoff
Disability, discharge, or court opinion	Clarify the request and provide appropriate factual records if authorized	Accredited representation or an independent qualified evaluator
Unclear command disclosure obligation	Identify the governing role and obtain timely guidance	Privacy officer, contractor, legal adviser, or relevant policy authority

Treating and Forensic Roles

The ACA's 2014 code, Standard E.13.c, prohibits counselors from conducting forensic evaluations of current or former counseling clients and from counseling people they are evaluating for forensic purposes (American Counseling Association [ACA], 2014). This standard should be applied to the actual task rather than assumed to prohibit every factual treatment letter. Other professions should apply their own governing standards.

© 2026 CEU Matrix

A treatment summary can report dates, the clinical concerns addressed, assessment findings, interventions, and the client's course of care when the clinician has a sound basis and permission. A nexus opinion, fitness determination, or other adjudicative conclusion asks a different question. It may require independent evaluation, records beyond those available in therapy, and specific expertise.

If Raymond asks for a letter saying the military caused his depression, the clinician first clarifies the purpose. His current treatment concerns grief after his wife's death, and the available history may not support a causal conclusion about service. A factual summary can remain accurate without adopting an unsupported theory. A qualified evaluator and accredited representative can explain what evidence is relevant to the claim.

A client's wish to receive benefits is not evidence of deception. It also does not require the therapist to endorse every requested statement. The clinician can respect the client, describe observations accurately, and maintain limits on what the record supports. Explain those limits before agreeing to write.

Disability compensation and clinical improvement can coexist. Avoid implying that a client must remain ill to deserve a benefit or that a benefits application invalidates symptoms. Keep treatment goals grounded in the client's health and functioning, while allowing the appropriate adjudicator to decide entitlement.

Coordinate Around a Defined Question

A release should support a purpose the client understands. Before contacting VA, a Vet Center, a prescriber, an employer, command, or an attorney, identify the information needed and the authority for sharing it. When authorization is the basis, use a valid, appropriately specific authorization and an approved communication method. Some lawful disclosures do not depend on authorization; determine the applicable rule rather than applying a blanket assumption.

Ask whether the receiving service needs a full record or a narrower summary. The client's wish to coordinate care does not automatically make every detail necessary. Additional protections may apply to certain records, including substance use treatment information or psychotherapy notes. Seek qualified guidance where the rules are unclear.

Coordinate clinical responsibility as well as information. If two clinicians are involved, who manages medication, suicide follow-up, trauma treatment, and general therapy? Who contacts the client after a missed appointment? A shared chart or a signed release does not settle those responsibilities. Directly clarify them when the care arrangement is complex.

Document the contact, information exchanged, permissions or other legal basis, recommendations, and agreed follow-up. “Referred to VA” is insufficient if the plan depends on a specific clinic accepting care and no acceptance has occurred. Until the handoff is completed, decide what care remains the original clinician's responsibility.

Command Contact in Civilian Practice

Section 4 introduced DoD Instruction 6490.08. For a current service member, determine whether the encounter is private civilian care, TRICARE care, care provided under a military arrangement, or a formal evaluation. The relevant obligations may differ. Read the current instruction and applicable civilian-provider requirements rather than treating the military policy as a substitute for state law or professional duties.

The instruction's general presumption favors confidentiality of voluntarily sought care, with specified notification circumstances. That broad statement is already covered in Section 4. The operational task here is to verify which provisions govern the clinician's own role and explain them accurately before relying on them.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

If a commander calls, verify identity and authority without confirming treatment unnecessarily. An urgent request may still require analysis of the disclosure basis and scope. If a disclosure is required or permitted for a serious risk, share what is justified under the governing rule and document why. Do not automatically send the full chart because the request came from someone with rank.

When possible and appropriate, tell the client what will be disclosed and why. A client may disagree with a required action; clear explanation still matters. If consulting before a disclosure would create an unsafe delay in an emergency, take the necessary action under established procedures and obtain follow-up guidance as appropriate.

A clinician should prepare for these questions before treating current members. Identify a privacy or legal consultation route, current contractor guidance if relevant, and the clinic's response procedure for third-party requests. An improvised confidentiality explanation at the moment of a crisis is much harder to make accurate.

Professional Standards Across Disciplines

NASW's military practice standards address military history, cultural competence, assessment, documentation, and coordination with service members, veterans, and families (National Association of Social Workers [NASW], 2012). Their age should be recognized when they contain older population or policy descriptions. The enduring practice principles can inform care while current law and program rules are checked separately.

APA's Multicultural Guidelines emphasize context, intersecting identities, language, power, and clinician awareness (American Psychological Association, 2017). They are guidelines rather than a military-specific treatment protocol. A clinician should distinguish aspirational guidance from an enforceable ethics standard or a legal requirement.

Marriage and family therapists should consult the AAMFT code effective January 1, 2026, as well as applicable law and board requirements (American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy [AAMFT], 2026). Counselors should verify the ACA code currently in force before relying on a provision. A public draft or announced revision date does not establish that new language is in force.

Across disciplines, the practical obligations include practicing competently, obtaining appropriate consent, protecting confidentiality, avoiding harmful role conflicts, and seeking consultation when necessary. The exact standard and its authority should be identified in a difficult case. “Ethics says” is too vague to resolve a concrete decision involving several systems.

Clinician Attitudes and Countertransference

Examine reactions to military service before they shape the encounter. Admiration can lead to avoiding difficult questions; opposition to a war can lead to imposing an interpretation; discomfort with firearms can produce a judgmental response; identification with the client's story can make the clinician overconfident. Any of these reactions may interfere with assessment.

A useful self-check asks what the clinician is reluctant to ask and why. Is the concern clinical timing, lack of knowledge, fear of offending, or the clinician's own values? Appropriate supervision or consultation can help separate these issues. The client should not be asked to resolve the clinician's political or moral conflict.

If a client describes an action that strongly conflicts with the clinician's beliefs, remain attentive to the clinical task and applicable obligations. Understanding the meaning and effects of an event does not require approving it. Likewise, empathic care should not become automatic exoneration. Moral certainty offered too quickly can prevent the client from examining what troubles them.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

A clinician with service experience should assess a related risk: assuming the client's military was the same as theirs. Different gender, rank, era, race, component, or command can produce very different experiences. Shared language can be useful while still requiring inquiry. A brief self-disclosure should have a clear purpose and leave attention with the client.

Documentation Exercise

Consider this fictional note: “Marine veteran is cynical, refuses VA, and uses dark humor to avoid responsibility. Likely chronic PTSD due to service.” The note contains several conclusions that the case facts do not support. It blends observation, judgment, diagnosis, and causation without explaining the assessment.

A more defensible account is: “Client reports depressive symptoms and isolation following spouse's death. Reports Marine Corps service and an other than honorable discharge; believes discharge prevents access to VA services. Uses humor when discussing losses. Further assessment of mood, alcohol use, trauma symptoms, and safety completed or planned as clinically indicated; client offered information about an eligibility review.” The final note must state what was actually assessed and done, not leave the phrase “completed or planned.”

For practice, identify which portions would need information before charting. The symptom history needs duration and impact. A completed suicide assessment requires its actual findings and rationale. An offered referral should include the client's response and the next action if it affects care. A teaching example is not a template to paste unchanged into a record.

The same exercise applies to Daniela. “Controlling because of Navy training” is an unsupported causal shortcut. Record the behavior and Daniela's explanation, then state the working hypothesis and what will test it. A chart should allow another clinician to see why a conclusion was reached and what remains uncertain.

Consultation Does Not Need to End Treatment

A referral may add a service while the generalist continues work within competence. A medical evaluation for headaches does not automatically require ending grief therapy. An accredited benefits representative can address access while the clinician treats depression. An independent evaluator can answer a forensic question while the therapist maintains the treatment role.

Explain these roles so the client does not experience referral as rejection. “I can continue helping with the grief and daily functioning. The cognitive symptoms need an evaluation I am not qualified to provide. We can coordinate the findings with your permission” states both the continuing contribution and the limit.

If the needed treatment is outside the clinician's scope, arrange a transfer that accounts for urgency and availability. Discuss interim care, emergency options, and what happens if the first referral cannot accept the client. Avoid an indefinite holding pattern in which the clinician repeatedly recommends a specialty service while delivering inadequate care without consultation.

Limitations and Risks of This Course

The readiness surveys cited early in the course are historical and use study-specific definitions. They do not establish the current national percentage of culturally prepared clinicians. Provider preference studies measure expectations in particular samples, not a universal requirement for matching by veteran status. Much practical interviewing guidance is expert-informed or an original educational application rather than a tested intervention.

Most treatment dropout evidence discussed here comes from VA or military PTSD care. It should not be generalized directly to all diagnoses or civilian settings. Population surveillance identifies associations and

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

prevention priorities; it cannot determine an individual client's risk from demographic or service characteristics alone. Qualitative studies provide depth and examples without establishing population prevalence.

The course's behavior questions, scripts, and fictional cases are teaching aids. Overusing them could create a new stereotype, encourage formulaic interviewing, or lead a clinician to attribute a symptom to culture without adequate assessment. The remedy is to check the explanation with the client, complete standard assessment, and revise the formulation when new information appears.

Resource and confidentiality guidance has additional limits. Programs can change eligibility or administrators, and law varies by jurisdiction and role. This course teaches referral processes and highlights points for verification; it cannot make a benefits determination, provide case-specific legal advice, or replace current contractor requirements.

For an immediate practice change, select one issue that can be checked in your setting: the service question, the referral process, or the confidentiality explanation for current members. Identify the person responsible for maintaining it and a date for review. A policy that exists only in one clinician's memory is difficult for the rest of the clinic to use reliably.

© 2026 CEU Matrix

Section 12: Returning to the Three Cases

Daniela

The clinician revises the early description of Daniela after learning about her responsibilities, separation, and current household. Directness and a preference for structure are explored in context. Depressive symptoms, sleep, medical concerns, substance use, and safety receive ordinary clinical assessment. No diagnosis is inferred from her controlled expression or her service alone.

Daniela identifies two problems she wants to change: escalating arguments with her son and a week that feels less purposeful than she expected. She chooses a concrete parenting goal and a possible professional connection. The clinician uses Spanish and English within their competence and checks which language Daniela prefers for particular discussions. A family meeting with her mother is considered only with appropriate consent and a clear treatment purpose.

At review, the clinician asks what actually changed. Fewer arguments may matter to Daniela even if she still misses the Navy. If low mood persists or functioning worsens, the formulation and treatment plan change. Cultural understanding supports assessment; it does not supply a reassuring explanation that must remain intact.

Tyler

Tyler's first session in Section 7 established the current Guard role, treatment arrangement, and immediate medical follow-up. At the next visit, check what happened at the medical appointment and whether sleep, drinking, or safety changed. His deployment return remains distinct from separation from service.

If the medical appointment did not occur, ask why before treating the referral as completed or the client as unwilling. Cost, scheduling, fear about records, and difficulty reaching the office suggest different solutions. A Vet Center inquiry can be revisited if Tyler wants help with readjustment or access; it does not replace the alcohol and sleep assessment already indicated.

Before the next drill period, agree how appointments will be rescheduled and which contact method is private enough for him to use. If he requests another setting, identify who will provide which care and how necessary support continues during the transition.

Raymond

Raymond’s wife died eight months ago. Evenings are hardest. He has stopped attending a weekly meal with a neighbor and leaves bills unopened. Asked about service, he jokes, then says, “They threw me out. I am not going back to ask for anything.” The clinician separates current care from benefits access.

Clinician: “You want evenings to feel manageable, and you do not want contact with VA. Have I understood both?”

Raymond: “Yes. I came to talk about my wife. Not paperwork.”

Clinician: “We can work on grief here. Your discharge does not tell me that every veteran service is closed to you. A benefits specialist could review that if you ever want it. Would you like a name to keep, or should we leave that alone today?”

Raymond: “Leave it alone.”

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Clinician: “All right. I will not contact anyone about benefits. Let us look at what happens after dinner, when you said things get worse.”

Declining an optional eligibility referral is not the same as declining clinical assessment. The clinician asks about mood across the day, pleasure, sleep, appetite, concentration, alcohol, daily tasks, and the experience of grief. Raymond reports sadness and yearning, but also occasional enjoyment with his grandson. Those details guide further assessment; the clinician does not establish a depressive disorder from bereavement alone or use moments of enjoyment to rule one out.

Asked directly about thoughts of death, Raymond reports wishing he would not wake up on two evenings in the past week. Further inquiry elicits no current intent, suicide plan, preparatory behavior, or prior attempt. He reports one alcoholic drink on some evenings and no recent increase. He has a handgun at home and agrees to discuss reducing access during difficult evenings. The clinician assesses the remaining risk and protective factors, including the timing of thoughts, changes in intensity, isolation, reasons for living, and willingness to use support. Passive death wishes require this inquiry even when the client presents them as a joke.

Clinician: “You said you do not intend to act, and the evenings have become hard enough that you sometimes wish you would not wake up. I want us to make a plan for those hours. Who would you be willing to contact before the evening gets that bad?”

Raymond: “My daughter, if you do not call her about the Marines.”

They agree on a narrowly defined contact: his daughter may help with the evening safety plan and appointment logistics. Raymond signs an authorization for that purpose. The handgun is already unloaded in a locked container, with ammunition secured separately. His daughter agrees to hold the only key and any spare keys for the next week under an arrangement reviewed for legality and household safety. Neither is asked to handle or transport the firearm during the encounter. The clinician checks for other means of access and confirms who will complete the key handoff and when. With Raymond present, his daughter also agrees to an evening check-in. This contact includes no discharge history or benefits discussion. Safety planning covers coping steps, other supports, professional help, and what to do if he develops intent or cannot follow the plan.

On the full findings in this example, the clinician determines that outpatient care with a collaborative safety plan, support involvement, and a follow-up within two days is appropriate. The basis is documented and reassessed; the decision is not justified solely by Raymond's denial of intent. A finding of inability to maintain safety, escalating intent, or an unworkable access plan would change the disposition under the clinic's procedures and applicable law (Department of Veterans Affairs & Department of Defense, 2024).

For grief treatment, Raymond chooses one manageable task before the next visit: reopen contact with the neighbor for a short meal rather than commit to a veterans group. The clinician also arranges primary care coordination, with permission, for the sleep and health concerns identified in assessment. Treatment is organized around the evening pattern and his daily functioning. A benefits referral remains optional.

At the two-day follow-up, Raymond reports that the agreed storage arrangement and his daughter's check-in occurred. The death wishes have not intensified, and the clinician reassesses thoughts, intent, access, alcohol use, and the plan's feasibility. Raymond has texted his neighbor but has not yet arranged the meal. The clinician explores what made the text possible and what still makes the invitation difficult. An incomplete social goal is information for treatment, not a reason to withdraw care or reopen the benefits issue without permission.

A teaching note could state: “Client declined optional VA eligibility referral after receiving a brief explanation; no benefits contact made. Wishes for death disclosed; suicide risk assessed and findings documented separately. With client authorization, daughter involved only in the evening safety plan and

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

logistics; access-reduction steps confirmed at follow-up. Grief, mood, sleep, functioning, and safety remain treatment priorities. Client prefers not to revisit benefits at present."

What changes if his daughter is unavailable, the access plan fails, or death wishes become intent to act? Reassess and respond to those developments. His refusal of an eligibility review alone does not determine the level of care.

What the Learner Should Now Be Able to Do

The six learning objectives translate into six observable practices:

1. Interpret population and readiness findings with their dates, denominators, and limitations intact.

2. Ask about branch, component, occupation, rank, responsibility, and identity without using them as personality labels.

3. Separate stigma, practical barriers, clearance questions, and confidentiality concerns so each receives an appropriate response.

4. Assess combat exposure, other service stressors, and transition tasks without assuming a PTSD explanation.

5. Conduct a focused, collaborative first session and evaluate behaviors through context, flexibility, distress, impairment, and alternatives.

6. Match referrals to the task, verify eligibility through the responsible service, and maintain clear treatment and evaluative roles.

Before the next intake, locate the place where military service is asked about in your own process. Make the wording clear enough for Tyler, leave room for Raymond's preferred identity, and ask Daniela the same question you would ask anyone else. The follow-up begins with what that person says.

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

References

Adler, A. B., Bliese, P. D., McGurk, D., Hoge, C. W., & Castro, C. A. (2009). Battlemind debriefing and Battlemind training as early interventions with soldiers returning from Iraq: Randomization by platoon. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 77*(5), 928–940. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016877

Ahern, J., Worthen, M., Masters, J., Lippman, S. A., Ozer, E. J., & Moos, R. H. (2015). The challenges of Afghanistan and Iraq veterans' transition from military to civilian life and approaches to reconnection. *PLOS ONE, 10*(7), e0128599. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0128599

American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy. (2026). *Code of ethics*. https://www.aamft.org/web/Action-Advocacy/Code-of-Ethics-New.aspx

American Counseling Association. (2014). *2014 ACA code of ethics*. https://www.counseling.org/docs/default-source/default-document-library/ethics/2014-aca-code-of-ethics.pdf

American Psychological Association. (2017). *Multicultural guidelines: An ecological approach to context, identity, and intersectionality*. https://www.apa.org/about/policy/multicultural-guidelines.pdf

Atuel, H. R., & Castro, C. A. (2018). Military cultural competence. *Clinical Social Work Journal, 46*(2), 74–82. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10615-018-0651-z

Bowman, M. A., Sanders, K. M., & Sharpe, J. W. (2019). Military health history: Resources for use at the point of care. *American Family Physician, 100*(9), 523–524. https://www.aafp.org/pubs/afp/issues/2019/1101/p523.html

Chen, J. A., Fortney, J. C., Bergman, H. E., Browne, K. C., Grubbs, K. M., Hudson, T. J., & Raue, P. J. (2020). Therapeutic alliance across trauma-focused and non-trauma-focused psychotherapies among veterans with PTSD. *Psychological Services, 17*(4), 452–460. https://doi.org/10.1037/ser0000329

Coleman, S. J., Stevelink, S. A. M., Hatch, S. L., Denny, J. A., & Greenberg, N. (2017). Stigma-related barriers and facilitators to help seeking for mental health issues in the armed forces: A systematic review and thematic synthesis of qualitative literature. *Psychological Medicine, 47*(11), 1880–1892. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291717000356

Confidentiality and privileged communications, Fla. Stat. § 491.0147 (2026). https://www.flsenate.gov/Laws/Statutes/2026/491.0147

Consortium for Defense Psychology. (n.d.). *Consortium for Defense Psychology*. Uniformed Services University. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://cdp.usuhs.edu/

Defense Health Agency. (2025, June 9). *Military command exception.* https://www.health.mil/military-health-topics/privacy-and-civil-liberties/hipaa-compliance-within-the-mhs/military-command-exception?type=All

Department of Defense. (2023, September 6). *Command notification requirements to dispel stigma in providing mental health care to service members* (DoD Instruction 6490.08). https://www.esd.whs.mil/Portals/54/Documents/DD/issuances/dodi/649008p.pdf

Department of Veterans Affairs & Department of Defense. (2023). *VA/DoD clinical practice guideline for management of posttraumatic stress disorder and acute stress disorder*. https://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/MH/ptsd/

Department of Veterans Affairs & Department of Defense. (2024). *VA/DoD clinical practice guideline for assessment and management of patients at risk for suicide*. https://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/MH/srb/

Department of Veterans Affairs. (2024). Update and clarify regulatory bars to benefits based on character of discharge. *Federal Register, 89*, 32361. https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2024/04/26/2024-09012/update-and-clarify-regulatory-bars-to-benefits-based-on-character-of-discharge

Edwards-Stewart, A., Smolenski, D. J., Bush, N. E., Cyr, B.-A., Beech, E. H., Skopp, N. A., & Belsher, B. E. (2021). Posttraumatic stress disorder treatment dropout among military and veteran populations: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Traumatic Stress, 34*(4), 808–818. https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.22653

Florida Department of Veterans' Affairs. (2018, May 22). *Florida Veterans Support Line expands statewide at 1-844-MyFLVet*. https://floridavets.org/florida-veterans-support-line-expands-statewide-at-1-844-myflvet

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Florida Department of Veterans' Affairs. (n.d.). *Florida Department of Veterans' Affairs*. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://floridavets.org/

Griffin, B. J., Purcell, N., Burkman, K., Litz, B. T., Bryan, C. J., Schmitz, M., Villierme, C., Walsh, J., & Maguen, S. (2019). Moral injury: An integrative review. *Journal of Traumatic Stress, 32*(3), 350–362. https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.22362

Hoge, C. W., Castro, C. A., Messer, S. C., McGurk, D., Cotting, D. I., & Koffman, R. L. (2004). Combat duty in Iraq and Afghanistan, mental health problems, and barriers to care. *New England Journal of Medicine, 351*(1), 13–22. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa040603

Hoge, C. W., Grossman, S. H., Auchterlonie, J. L., Riviere, L. A., Milliken, C. S., & Wilk, J. E. (2014). PTSD treatment for soldiers after combat deployment: Low utilization of mental health care and reasons for dropout. *Psychiatric Services, 65*(8), 997–1004. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201300307

Howren, M. B., Kazmerzak, D., Kemp, R. W., Boesen, T. J., Capra, G., & Abrams, T. E. (2020). Identification of military veterans upon implementation of a standardized screening process in a federally qualified health center. *Journal of Community Health, 45*(3), 465–468. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-019-00761-3

Hundt, N. E., Ecker, A. H., Thompson, K., Helm, A., Smith, T. L., Stanley, M. A., & Cully, J. A. (2020). "It didn't fit for me": A qualitative examination of dropout from prolonged exposure and cognitive processing therapy in veterans. *Psychological Services, 17*(4), 414–421. https://doi.org/10.1037/ser0000316

Johnson, T. S., Ganz, A., Berger, S., Ganguly, A., & Koritzky, G. (2018). Service members prefer a psychotherapist who is a veteran. *Frontiers in Psychology, 9*, 1068. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01068

Kehle-Forbes, S. M., Ackland, P. E., Spoont, M. R., Meis, L. A., Orazem, R. J., Lyon, A., Valenstein-Mah, H. R., Schnurr, P. P., Zickmund, S. L., Foa, E. B., Chard, K. M., Alpert, E., & Polusny, M. A. (2022). Divergent experiences of U.S. veterans who did and did not complete trauma-focused therapies for PTSD: A national qualitative study of treatment dropout. *Behaviour Research and Therapy, 154*, 104123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2022.104123

Kehle-Forbes, S. M., Meis, L. A., Spoont, M. R., & Polusny, M. A. (2016). Treatment initiation and dropout from prolonged exposure and cognitive processing therapy in a VA outpatient clinic. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy, 8*(1), 107–114. https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0000065

Khazanov, G. K., Keddem, S., Hoskins, K., Myhre, K., Sullivan, S., Mitchell, E., Holliman, B. D., Landes, S. J., & Simonetti, J. (2022). Stakeholder perceptions of lethal means safety counseling: A qualitative systematic review. *Frontiers in Psychiatry, 13*, 993415. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2022.993415

Kim, P. Y., Thomas, J. L., Wilk, J. E., Castro, C. A., & Hoge, C. W. (2010). Stigma, barriers to care, and use of mental health services among active duty and National Guard soldiers after combat. *Psychiatric Services, 61*(6), 582–588. https://doi.org/10.1176/ps.2010.61.6.582

Lawrence, J. P. (2023, November 9). Younger veterans uncomfortable with "thank you for your service," poll finds. *Stars and Stripes*. https://stripes.com/veterans/2023-11-09/veterans-thanks-poll-11990002.html

Mental and behavioral health care for certain former members of the Armed Forces, 38 U.S.C. § 1720I. https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/38/1720I

Mercurio, N. (2019). Beyond "thank you": Recommended modalities for meaningful civilian-military discourse. *Journal of Veterans Studies, 4*(2), 1–33. https://doi.org/10.21061/jvs.v4i2.103

Meshberg-Cohen, S., Goodwin, S. R., Fischer, I. C., Cook, J. M., Tsai, J., & Pietrzak, R. H. (2026). Primary users of the VA health care system: Prevalence, correlates, and implications for care delivery. *The Primary Care Companion for CNS Disorders, 28*(3), 25m04176. https://doi.org/10.4088/PCC.25m04176

Meyer, E. G., DeSilva, R. B., Hann, M. C., Aggarwal, N. K., Brim, W. L., Engel, C. C., Lu, F. G., & Lewis-Fernández, R. (2022). Adapting the Cultural Formulation Interview for the military. *Psychiatric Services, 73*(9), 978–983. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.202100523

Military OneSource. (n.d.). *Using Military OneSource counseling and other options*. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.militaryonesource.mil/resources/millife-guides/using-military-onesource-counseling/

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Milliken, C. S., Auchterlonie, J. L., & Hoge, C. W. (2007). Longitudinal assessment of mental health problems among active and reserve component soldiers returning from the Iraq war. *JAMA, 298*(18), 2141–2148. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.298.18.2141

Mobbs, M. C., & Bonanno, G. A. (2018). Beyond war and PTSD: The crucial role of transition stress in the lives of military veterans. *Clinical Psychology Review, 59*, 137–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2017.11.007

National Association of Social Workers. (2012). *NASW standards for social work practice with service members, veterans, and their families*. https://www.socialworkers.org/Practice/NASW-Practice-Standards-Guidelines/NASW-Standards-for-Social-Work-with-Service-Members-Veterans-and-Their-Families

National Center for PTSD. (n.d.-a). *How common is PTSD in veterans?* U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.ptsd.va.gov/understand/common/common_veterans.asp

National Center for PTSD. (n.d.-b). *Military sexual trauma*. U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.ptsd.va.gov/understand/types/sexual_trauma_military.asp

National Center for PTSD. (n.d.-c). *PTSD Consultation Program*. U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.ptsd.va.gov/professional/consult/consultation_program1.asp

National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. (n.d.). *Alcohol use disorder: From risk to diagnosis to recovery*. Retrieved November 4, 2026, from https://www.niaaa.nih.gov/health-professionals-communities/core-resource-on-alcohol/alcohol-use-disorder-risk-diagnosis-recovery

Newell, S., Kenyon, E., Clark, K. D., Elliott, V., Rynerson, A., Gerrity, M. S., Karras, E., Simonetti, J. A., & Dobscha, S. K. (2021). Veterans are agreeable to discussions about firearms safety in primary care. *Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine, 34*(2), 338–345. https://doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.2021.02.200261

Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Military Community and Family Policy. (2025). *2024 demographics: Profile of the military community* [Infographic]. Military OneSource. https://download.militaryonesource.mil/12038/MOS/Infographic/2024-demographics-total-dod-military-community.pdf

Parker, K., Igielnik, R., Barroso, A., & Cilluffo, A. (2019, September 10). *The American veteran experience and the post-9/11 generation*. Pew Research Center. https://www.pewresearch.org/social-trends/2019/09/10/the-american-veteran-experience-and-the-post-9-11-generation/

Polzer, E. R., Holliday, R., Rohs, C. M., Thomas, S. M., Miller, C. N., Simonetti, J. A., Brenner, L. A., & Monteith, L. L. (2023). Women veterans' perspectives, experiences, and preferences for firearm lethal means counseling discussions. *PLOS ONE, 18*(12), e0295042. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0295042

Psychological Health Center of Excellence. (2020). *Barriers to care: Security clearance; mental health section endorsement and treatment-seeking concerns*. Defense Health Agency. https://health.mil/Reference-Center/Publications/2021/04/02/PHCoE-Barriers-to-Care-Security

Psychological Health Center of Excellence. (2021). *Emotional cycle of deployment for service members and families* [Fact sheet]. Defense Health Agency. https://www.health.mil/Reference-Center/Publications/2021/05/19/Emotional-Cycle-of-Deployment-for-Service-Members-and-Families

Ravindran, C., Morley, S. W., Stephens, B. M., Stanley, I. H., & Reger, M. A. (2020). Association of suicide risk with transition to civilian life among US military service members. *JAMA Network Open, 3*(9), e2016261. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.16261

Reger, M. A., Ravindran, C., Morley, S. W., Devendorf, A., Vescera, K. J., & Stephens, B. M. (2025). Character of discharge from the US military and suicide mortality. *JAMA Network Open, 8*(5), e2512081. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2025.12081

Resnick, S. G., & Hoff, R. A. (2020). Observations from the national implementation of Measurement Based Care in Mental Health in the Department of Veterans Affairs. *Psychological Services, 17*(3), 238–246. https://doi.org/10.1037/ser0000351

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Schnurr, P. P., Chard, K. M., Ruzek, J. I., Chow, B. K., Resick, P. A., Foa, E. B., Marx, B. P., Friedman, M. J., Bovin, M. J., Caudle, K. L., Castillo, D., Curry, K. T., Hollifield, M., Huang, G. D., Chee, C. L., Astin, M. C., Dickstein, B., Renner, K., Clancy, C. P., . . . Shih, M.-C. (2022). Comparison of prolonged exposure vs cognitive processing therapy for treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder among US veterans: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Network Open, 5*(1), e2136921. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.36921

Tanielian, T., Farmer, C. M., Burns, R. M., Duffy, E. L., & Setodji, C. M. (2018). *Ready or not? Assessing the capacity of New York State health care providers to meet the needs of veterans* (RR-2298). RAND Corporation. https://doi.org/10.7249/RR2298

Tanielian, T., Farris, C., Batka, C., Farmer, C. M., Robinson, E., Engel, C. C., Robbins, M. W., & Jaycox, L. H. (2014). *Ready to serve: Community-based provider capacity to deliver culturally competent, quality mental health care to veterans and their families* (RR-806). RAND Corporation. https://doi.org/10.7249/RR806

Traumatic Brain Injury Center of Excellence. (n.d.). *DoD TBI worldwide numbers*. Defense Health Agency. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://health.mil/military-health-topics/centers-of-excellence/traumatic-brain-injury-center-of-excellence/dod-tbi-worldwide-numbers

TRICARE. (n.d.). *TRICARE*. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.tricare.mil/

Tsai, J., & Pietrzak, R. H. (2017). Trajectories of posttraumatic growth among US military veterans: A 4-year nationally representative, prospective cohort study. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 136*(5), 483–492. https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12800

Tsai, J., El-Gabalawy, R., Sledge, W. H., Southwick, S. M., & Pietrzak, R. H. (2015). Post-traumatic growth among veterans in the USA: Results from the National Health and Resilience in Veterans Study. *Psychological Medicine, 45*(1), 165–179. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291714001202

U.S. Air Force Life Cycle Management Center. (2024, October 4). *Get the facts about mental health and security clearances*. https://www.aflcmc.af.mil/NEWS/Article-Display/Article/3926376/get-the-facts-about-mental-health-and-security-clearances/

U.S. Air Force. (n.d.). *Vision and creed*. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.airforce.com/vision

U.S. Army. (n.d.). *Army values*. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.army.mil/values/

U.S. Census Bureau. (2025). *Veterans Day 2025: November 11*. https://www.census.gov/newsroom/facts-for-features/2025/veterans-day.html

U.S. Coast Guard. (n.d.). *Core values*. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.uscg.mil/Strengthening-Service-Culture/Core-Values/

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Office of Mental Health and Suicide Prevention. (2026). *2026 national veteran suicide prevention annual report*. https://www.mentalhealth.va.gov/docs/data-sheets/2026/CMR_2026_National_Veteran_Suicide_Prevention_Annual_Report.pdf

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. (n.d.-a). *Eligibility for VA health care*. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.va.gov/health-care/eligibility/

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. (n.d.-b). *Free emergency suicide care*. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.va.gov/health/free_emergency_suicide_care.asp

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. (n.d.-c). *Get help from a VA accredited representative or VSO*. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.va.gov/get-help-from-accredited-representative/

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. (n.d.-d). *Military sexual trauma*. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.va.gov/health-care/health-needs-conditions/military-sexual-trauma/

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. (n.d.-e). *VA mental health services*. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.va.gov/health-care/health-needs-conditions/mental-health/

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. (n.d.-f). *St. Petersburg Vet Center*. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.va.gov/st-petersburg-vet-center/

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. (n.d.-g). *Vet Center eligibility*. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.vetcenter.va.gov/eligibility.asp

U.S. Marine Corps. (n.d.). *What are the Marine Corps values*. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.hrom.marines.mil/Legacy-Content/New-Employees/About-the-Marine-Corps/Values/

U.S. Navy. (n.d.). *Our core values*. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.navy.mil/about/our-core-values/

U.S. Space Force. (2021, September 21). *CSO unveils Guardian Ideal, Space Force values at AFA*. https://www.spaceforce.mil/News/Article/2782534/cso-unveils-guardian-ideal-space-force-values-at-afa/

Veterans Benefits Administration. (n.d.). *Applying for benefits and your character of discharge*. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.benefits.va.gov/benefits/character_of_discharge.asp

Veterans Crisis Line. (n.d.). *Veterans Crisis Line*. U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://www.veteranscrisisline.net/

Veterans Health Administration. (n.d.). *Community Care Network*. U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. Retrieved October 26, 2026, from https://department.va.gov/vha/community-care/partnerships/community-care-network/

Vogt, D., Borowski, S. C., Godier-McBard, L. R., Fossey, M. J., Copeland, L. A., Perkins, D. F., & Finley, E. P. (2022). Changes in the health and broader well-being of U.S. veterans in the first three years after leaving military service: Overall trends and group differences. *Social Science & Medicine, 294*, 114702. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2022.114702

Walter Reed Army Institute of Research Land Combat Study Team. (2006). *Battlemind training II: Continuing the transition home* [Training handout]. https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/GOVPUB-D104-PURL-gpo18160/pdf/GOVPUB-D104-PURL-gpo18160.pdf

Wells, S. Y., Wilhite, E. R., Weber, M. C., Blakey, S. M., Callaway, C., Kehle-Forbes, S., Morland, L. A., Mackintosh, M. A., Dedert, E. A., Jackson, G. L., Sohn, M. J., & Grubbs, K. M. (2025). Beyond symptom reduction: Veterans' goals for posttraumatic stress disorder treatment. *Journal of Traumatic Stress, 38*(2), 350–357. https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.23121

 © 2026 CEU Matrix

Glossary

Active duty. Full-time military service; the individual's actual status and orders matter.

Activation or mobilization. Entry into a period of service under particular orders; terms and authorities vary.

Branch. A military service such as the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, Space Force, or Coast Guard.

CCN. VA Community Care Network.

Chain of command. The military structure through which authority and responsibility are exercised.

Character of discharge determination. VA review relevant to benefits eligibility; distinct from changing the military discharge record.

Component. Active or Reserve-component organization, including National Guard structures.

CPT. Cognitive processing therapy, a specific evidence-based PTSD treatment.

DD-214. Record of release or discharge from active duty; it may not summarize every aspect of service.

DEERS. Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System.

Deployment. Assignment away from the usual duty setting; it does not establish direct combat exposure.

DoD. Department of Defense, as named in the cited instructions and research.

EMDR. Eye movement desensitization and reprocessing, a specific trauma-focused psychotherapy.

MOS. Military occupational specialty, terminology used by the Army and Marine Corps.

MST. Military sexual trauma, an experience category rather than a psychiatric diagnosis.

National Guard. A component with state and federal roles; current status and orders affect obligations and access.

NCO. Noncommissioned officer, an enlisted leadership category with service-specific terminology.

OPSEC. Operations security; clinical inquiry should not solicit classified or sensitive operational information.

OTH. Other than honorable administrative discharge characterization.

PCS. Permanent change of station, a reassignment that commonly involves a move.

PE. Prolonged exposure, a specific evidence-based PTSD treatment.

PTSD. Posttraumatic stress disorder; diagnosis requires assessment beyond a service history or screening score.

Reserve. Federal Reserve components; a member may serve part time or during periods of full-time duty.

Service connection. A VA benefits determination linking a condition with service under applicable rules.

TRICARE. The health care program for eligible uniformed service beneficiaries.

USERRA. Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act; specific legal questions require qualified guidance.

VA. U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs.

VBA. Veterans Benefits Administration.

VHA. Veterans Health Administration.

VSO. Veterans Service Organization; verify an individual representative's VA accreditation.

© 2026 CEU Matrix

Appendix A: Military History Intake Prompts

This is an original interview aid, not a validated screening instrument. Use it selectively, explain sensitive questions, and retain the usual assessment for diagnosis, medical needs, substance use, and safety. A declined response should be recorded as declined or deferred rather than negative.

Identifying Service

"Have you ever served in the military, including the National Guard or Reserve?"

"Are you currently serving, and do you have any upcoming changes in duties or status?"

"Has someone close to you served, and does that affect anything you want help with?"

On an intake form, offer yes, no, and prefer not to answer. Follow up in the interview when appropriate. Do not require clients to identify as veterans to describe service.

Organization and Work

"Which branch or branches, and which component?"

"What were your years of service, occupation, and main responsibilities?"

"What rank did you hold, and what did that responsibility involve?"

"What should I understand about the unit or work environment?"

Meaning and Strengths

"What did you value or do well during that time?"

"How important is military service to how you see yourself now?"

"Are there parts of that identity you want to keep or move away from?"

Assignments and Transitions

"Were there deployments or activations, including disaster response? When did each end?"

"When did you leave service, if you have left?"

"What changed in work, housing, relationships, coverage, or daily structure?"

Health and Exposure

"Were there injuries, exposures, losses, or experiences that still affect your health?"

"Have you had medical assessment for those concerns?"

"Are there experiences you want me to know about without discussing the details today?"

Use an appropriate validated screen when indicated. Ask directly about suicide and other safety concerns as required by the presentation and setting. Do not use this cultural history to defer urgent assessment.

Care and Access

"Where do you receive health care now, and what has helped or been difficult?"

"What worries you about records, command, insurance, or clearance?"

© 2026 CEU Matrix

“Have you had difficulty obtaining services because of your service history or discharge?”

“Would you like help identifying the office that can review the question?”

Ending the Interview

“What did I misunderstand?”

“What feels most useful to address first?”

“What could make the next appointment or referral difficult?”

Record the agreed action, who is responsible, when it will occur, and what will happen if the plan is not feasible.

© 2026 CEU Matrix

Appendix B: Branch and Rank Reference

Use the client's preferred service identity. Army members are soldiers, Navy members sailors, Marine Corps members Marines, Air Force members airmen, Space Force members Guardians, and Coast Guard members may use Coast Guardsmen or another preferred term. Avoid calling every service member a soldier.

Rank titles differ across services. The following comparisons illustrate why a title alone can mislead; they do not replace a complete official rank reference.

Table B1. Pay Grade Examples Across Services

Pay grade	Army example	Navy example	Clinical reminder
E-4	Specialist or corporal	Petty officer third class	Ask about the actual job and leadership responsibility
E-7	Sergeant first class	Chief petty officer	A senior enlisted role may carry substantial responsibility
O-3	Captain	Lieutenant	Identical words are not used at equivalent grades
O-6	Colonel	Captain	A Navy captain differs substantially in grade from an Army captain

For current rank details, use each service's official materials. If an unfamiliar abbreviation matters clinically, ask what it means and verify the basic term outside session. Do not require a client to explain an entire rank system before discussing their current concern.

© 2026 CEU Matrix

Appendix C: Resource Directory

Official entry points initially reviewed October 26, 2026. Eligibility, availability, costs, and record practices require confirmation with the responsible service. A general information page is not a completed referral. Crisis support supplements appropriate emergency assessment and care.

Urgent Help

Veterans Crisis Line: dial 988 and press 1, text 838255, or chat through the Veterans Crisis Line website (https://www.veteranscrisisline.net/). Service members, veterans, and the people who care about them can use it, and VA enrollment is not required (Veterans Crisis Line, n.d.). Anyone in the United States can also call or text 988 to reach the 988 Suicide and Crisis Lifeline. Immediate danger requires emergency services or an emergency department.

The official VA mental health services page (https://www.va.gov/health-care/health-needs-conditions/mental-health/) explains veteran-specific access and urgent care options. Do not use routine benefits correspondence or a clinician consultation request for an immediate emergency.

Care and Eligibility

VA health care eligibility (https://www.va.gov/health-care/eligibility/) explains the general criteria and application route. Use VA eligibility staff for an individual determination. Verify applicable exceptions instead of assuming a short service period or discharge label settles the question.

Vet Center eligibility (https://www.vetcenter.va.gov/eligibility.asp) lists qualifying service and circumstances. The Vet Center program (https://www.vetcenter.va.gov/) provides the route to local offices. Ask the office about the requested service, scheduling, record practices, and any eligibility uncertainty. The Vet Center Call Center is available 24 hours a day at 877-927-8387.

VA military sexual trauma care (https://www.va.gov/health-care/health-needs-conditions/military-sexual-trauma/) explains MST-related care and links to coordinators. The referral is for care, not a requirement to prove or report the experience.

VA emergency suicide care (https://www.va.gov/health/free_emergency_suicide_care.asp) explains the COMPACT Act access pathway and coverage process. Clinical urgency should be addressed before administrative uncertainty.

Applying for benefits and character of discharge (https://www.benefits.va.gov/benefits/character_of_discharge.asp) explains VA's review and the 2024 regulatory changes. An accredited representative can help identify whether eligibility review, decision review, or a military record correction is the relevant process.

Current Service and Civilian Care

Military OneSource counseling (https://www.militaryonesource.mil/resources/millife-guides/using-military-onesource-counseling/) describes eligibility, the session benefit, confidentiality, and concerns outside its counseling scope. Ask the program to confirm that it can address the actual need. Military OneSource can be reached at 800-342-9647.

TRICARE (https://www.tricare.mil/) provides current plan, contractor, referral, and authorization information. Confirm both the client's coverage and the clinician's provider status. Military affiliation does not establish a single plan for every client.

© 2026 CEU Matrix

VA Community Care Network (https://department.va.gov/vha/community-care/partnerships/community-care-network/) identifies the current network process. Verify the relevant administrator and the individual authorization before relying on payment or continued visits.

Claims Help and Professional Learning

VA-accredited representative assistance (https://www.va.gov/get-help-from-accredited-representative/) links to the official search and appointment process. Accredited VSO representation is free; verify other fees and roles before engagement.

National Center for PTSD Consultation Program (https://www.ptsd.va.gov/professional/consult/consultation_program1.asp) provides free clinician consultation within its scope at 866-948-7880 or PTSDconsult@va.gov. It is not direct crisis care. Use approved procedures for any identifiable clinical information.

VA and DoD clinical practice guidelines (https://www.healthquality.va.gov/) hosts the current guideline collection. Check the version and read the full recommendation when it informs a treatment or service policy.

Consortium for Defense Psychology (https://cdp.usuhs.edu/) provides military behavioral health training and resources. It was renamed from the Center for Deployment Psychology in June 2026 and retains the acronym CDP. Review each training's prerequisites, format, cost, and credit information rather than assuming all offerings are equivalent.

Florida Example

Florida Department of Veterans' Affairs (https://floridavets.org/) provides current state resources and benefits information. Use its current locations and services pages to locate relevant assistance. The Florida Veterans Support Line, 1-844-MyFLVet (1-844-693-5838), offers confidential support and referral 24 hours a day regardless of discharge status (Florida Department of Veterans' Affairs, 2018). Clinicians elsewhere should identify their state's official veterans agency and local service offices.

Before giving a client a resource, record the date checked, reason for referral, office or service, eligibility question if any, contact action agreed, and follow-up date. If a link redirects or a program changes, update the practice aid before reusing it.

© 2026 CEU Matrix

Appendix D: Clinician Self Assessment

This is a reflection exercise, not a validated competence measure. It should not be used to award a competence score or claim readiness for specialty treatment.

1. Which client would I be least likely to ask about service, and what assumption explains that?

2. Do I expect veterans to be heroic, traumatized, conservative, disciplined, dangerous, or reluctant to seek help before hearing their account?

3. Can I distinguish current Guard duty, return from deployment, and final separation?

4. Which basic military terms would I need to learn outside session?

5. What do I know about my actual confidentiality obligations for current members in this setting?

6. Could I explain a VA, Vet Center, or TRICARE referral without promising eligibility?

7. Does firearm ownership trigger a reaction that could interfere with a respectful clinical discussion?

8. Could my personal views about a war or policy shape what I ask or avoid?

9. If I have served, where might assumed similarity conceal a difference?

10. Which requested evaluations or treatments exceed my competence?

11. What would I do if the first referral declined the client?

12. How would I know whether the client finds my explanation useful?

Choose one learning need and one observable practice change. Identify a reliable resource, a completion date, and how the change will be checked. Review the result in consultation or supervision where appropriate.

© 2026 CEU Matrix

Appendix E: Translating a Learned Response Into an Assessment Question

This original worksheet expands the course's contextual reasoning aid. It is informed by the historical Battlemind frame but does not reproduce the WRAIR handout or replace a diagnostic interview.

Choose one behavior described by the client. Write an observation that another clinician could recognize without a character judgment. Then answer:

1. What function did the behavior have in the original setting, according to the client?

2. In which current settings does it occur? Is there an actual threat or demand?

3. Can the person choose or modify the response?

4. What distress, impairment, relationship cost, or safety concern results?

5. What other medical, substance-related, sleep-related, or psychiatric explanations require assessment?

6. What finding would change the working formulation?

7. What next action is indicated, who will do it, and when will it be reviewed?

For Daniela, the observation might be repeated instructions about household tasks. The earlier function may have involved quality control in maintenance work; the current assessment examines anxiety, flexibility, parenting goals, and relationship effects. For Tyler, late arrival and poor sleep require assessment before being described as failure to readjust. For Raymond, humor is observed separately from the assessment of grief, depression, alcohol use, and suicide risk.

If a behavior remains safe, flexible, and acceptable to the client, a difference in style may require no treatment target. If it is impairing or dangerous, a cultural explanation can inform how treatment is discussed while the clinical need is addressed.

© 2026 CEU Matrix